Ways to Give

Your financial commitment to The American Law Institute is an important investment in improving the law. The Institute is an independent, nonpartisan organization supported by tax-deductible contributions. As a public charity under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, all donations to the ALI receive maximum tax benefits. Donors who wish to support ALI can do so in the following ways:

OUTRIGHT GIFTS

Gifts of cash by check or credit card are fully deductible for federal and state income-tax purposes. Checks should be made payable to The American Law Institute. The Institute also accepts donations via MasterCard, Visa, American Express, and Discover. To make a gift online, visit the ALI website at www.ali.org/support.

APPRECIATED SECURITIES

Gifts of appreciated stock may offer a double tax benefit by avoiding capital-gains tax and providing an income-tax charitable deduction for the full market value of the securities. So that we are able to recognize your gift, please notify the ALI Development Office when making a donation of securities. For more information on transferring stock, please see www.ali.org/TransferSecurities.

DONOR-ADVISED FUNDS AND FOUNDATION GIFTS

Donors may recommend or direct gifts to The American Law Institute through donor-advised funds or private foundations. To make a gift through your donor-advised fund, you will need to provide ALI’s Tax ID/EIN, which is 23-1352013. Payments should be made payable to The American Law Institute.

MATCHING GIFTS

Many firms and corporations encourage their employees to give by matching their philanthropic support through matching-gift programs. Donors should contact their organization to find out about participation in such programs.

PLANNED GIVING

Including the Institute in an estate plan can be accomplished easily through a bequest that specifies a certain amount or a percentage, or a retirement plan or life-insurance policy that names the ALI as a beneficiary or a contingent beneficiary after family members. For more information, please visit www.ali.org/plannedgiving.

ALI’s Gift Acceptance Policy, available at www.ali.org/giftacceptancepolicy, provides further guidance regarding the types of gifts or assets that may be readily accepted and the process to obtain approval for accepting other forms or types of gifts.

To inquire about making a donation, please contact Kyle Jakob, Senior Development Manager. Phone: (215) 243-1660 Fax: (215) 243-1636 development@ali.org www.ali.org/support
Introduction to
The American Law Institute

The American Law Institute was founded in 1923 in response to concerns that the body of American common law was both uncertain and complex. A group of prominent judges, lawyers, and academics formed the “Committee on the Establishment of a Permanent Organization for the Improvement of the Law” and published a report recommending that an organization be formed to improve the law and its administration. This led to the creation of ALI. The Institute’s mission, as set out in its charter, is “to promote the clarification and simplification of the law and its better adaptation to social needs, to secure the better administration of justice, and to encourage and carry on scholarly and scientific legal work.”

For nearly a century, ALI has gathered the best minds in the bar—judges, lawyers, and law professors—to accomplish its mission. The courts have come to trust and rely on ALI’s work due to its careful drafting process, its independence and integrity, and the intellectual caliber of those who participate in the Institute’s projects. Federal and state courts routinely look to ALI’s work for guidance in resolving questions of law.

Publications and Projects

ALI drafts, discusses, revises, and publishes Restatements of the Law, model statutes, and Principles of the Law that are enormously influential in the courts and legislatures, as well as in legal scholarship and education.

ALI has long been influential internationally and, in recent years, more of its work has become international in scope. It collaborates with numerous international law organizations and served as a model for the European Law Institute founded in 2011. In 2021, ALI’s membership approved a project on Principles for a Data Economy conducted jointly with the ELI.

The Institute addresses uncertainty in the law by developing restatements of legal subjects that are primarily addressed to courts. Restatements of the Law contain clear formulations of common law and its statutory elements or variations and reflect the law as it currently stands or might appropriately be stated by a court.

ALI also examines and analyzes legal areas in need of reform. Principles of the Law are primarily addressed to legislatures, administrative agencies, or private actors. They can, however, be addressed to courts when an area is so new that there is little established law. Principles may suggest best practices for these institutions. Statutory projects include the Uniform Commercial Code (in conjunction with the Uniform Law Commission), Model Code of Evidence, and Model Penal Code.

Membership

By participating in ALI’s work, its members have the opportunity to influence the development of the law in both existing and emerging areas, to work with other eminent lawyers, judges, and academics, to give back to a profession to which they are deeply dedicated, and to contribute to the public good.

Funding

ALI’s operating revenue is primarily derived from publishing, educational programs, membership dues and contributions, and rental income from its headquarters building in Philadelphia. The financial support of ALI’s members and partners is vital to its long-term financial stability. As a public charity under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, all donations to ALI are tax deductible to the full extent of the law.
I write this letter to you as I’m leaving a particularly gratifying ALI Council meeting, at which a majority of our Council members came together in person to discuss, debate, and ultimately approve the contents in five project drafts. Five years ago, this would not have seemed so remarkable. In 2022, however, I am hopeful that this is a sign that we are beginning to return to normal (even though we were wearing masks and provided a digital option).

The Council also voted to approve the launch of two new projects: Restatement of the Law, Constitutional Torts, and a Principles project addressing the adjudication of high-volume, low-dollar claims in state courts. Sometimes we call these “small claims” cases, but that surely is a misnomer because, for many participants, such claims are anything but small in terms of the potential impact on their lives and well-being. If you have not yet done so, please visit the ALI website to learn more about these projects and consider joining the Members Consultative Groups.

In addition to the typical business of the Council, we also spent time finalizing plans for the Institute’s 100th Anniversary year. A milestone such as this is a natural time for any organization to reflect and celebrate our collective accomplishments.

ALI was founded on February 23, 1923. At that time, our founders issued no small charge to ALI members: “to promote the clarification and simplification of the law and its better adaptation to social needs, to secure the better administration of justice, and to encourage and carry on scholarly and scientific legal work.”

Our first Restatements and Codes were so anticipated and the work so highly regarded by the legal community that by 1931 we had sold nearly 75,000 Tentative Drafts. Lawyers were using the drafts in preparing briefs. Judges, particularly those in the higher courts, were relying upon and citing the Tentative Drafts. Law-school professors were using drafts in their courses. The ALI would publish its very first official text—Restatement of the Law, Contracts—the following year.

Our work now remains as respected and sought after as it was then. Tentative Drafts of our projects are regularly cited, and official texts of our most recently published Restatements continue to be cited by courts. In the last year alone, our publications were cited 2,663 times by federal and state courts. Our work remains reliable and authoritative because of our rigorous process and the hard work and dedication supplied by you, our devoted members. For this, I sincerely thank you.

In addition to looking back, we should also look ahead to what the future will bring and think about how we can ensure that ALI’s members 100 years from now are celebrating the Institute’s bicentennial with the same sense of pride and accomplishment.

We are already living in a time of great change in the law, and there is every reason to believe that the future will bring even greater change at an increasingly rapid pace. In the midst of this change, the ALI’s collaborative, deliberative process and our mission to clarify and simplify the law and secure the better administration of justice remain as relevant and important as ever.

Within that framework, we have sought ways to deliver projects that offer guidance to judges, lawyers, scholars, and other organizations on pressing legal issues. Under the leadership of our talented Director Ricky Revesz, ALI has been extraordinarily productive, launching 14 projects while completing 16 projects over the last eight years.

Those projects have real-world impact. For example, in 2017, our members voted to approve the enormously important Use-of-Force Principles Chapter of the Principles of the Law, Policing, which we then distributed to police departments across the country. And after additional portions were approved in 2019 and 2021, the complete Policing project was approved at our Annual Meeting this year. It is remarkable that we were able to come together as an Institute to produce this work at a moment in history when it was most needed.

This year, Ricky and I also convened a bipartisan group to consider and propose possible Electoral Count Act (ECA) reforms. The urgency of this project created a deadline that was more pressing than ALI’s project process could meet. The group produced a suggested list of reforms that was the template for legislation introduced in the Senate. I don’t think I am exaggerating when I write that this experiment was a huge success. When Senator Benjamin Cardin of Maryland presented the Senate group’s proposal, he highlighted our role in bringing this group together:

“I want to thank the work of The American Law Institute, which convened a bipartisan working group to consider possible ECA reforms. In particular, I want to thank co-chairs Bob Bauer and Jack Goldsmith for their contributions to our efforts.

We recognize that Institute members have diverse views and that it would be difficult for the Institute to take positions on the many controversial political/legal issues of our times without jeopardizing our neutrality, credibility, and ability to produce the kind of high-quality, intensely deliberative work product for which we are known and which is our lane. Nonetheless, when the importance of the topic to the public interest is high and the Institute can play a convening or other assisting role in helping to develop balanced work product, similar to white papers, that may be of immediate assistance to others, we are willing to experiment with some forms of institute participation and assistance different from our normal work on Restatements, Principles Projects, and Model Codes.

It is also the case that while our projects move slowly because of their complexity and our high standards, responding to developments in the law is a critical component of the Institute’s future. As we enter the ALI’s second century, we also aspire to make our work more freely available to under-resourced state courts and government agencies and further support broad member participation in our process.

But this demands more than our time and knowledge; it also requires substantial financial resources. Last fall, we announced that the Institute has embarked on a $35 million Second Century Campaign. Through the generosity of our donor members, we have raised nearly $28 million thus far. But we still have some distance to travel, and we appreciate your support in helping us reach this ambitious goal.

As we approach our second century, I hope that you’ll join me in celebrating our collective accomplishments and also help us lay the foundation for future generations of ALI members. Your involvement in, and support of, the ALI and its projects makes me proud to be a member of this community.

I look forward to seeing you all at the 2023 Annual Meeting and celebration of our 100th Anniversary. I can assure that it will be a special three days, and I urge you now to mark your calendars. I offer my sincerest gratitude to you for all you have done and will do for the Institute, and I wish you a healthy and prosperous 2023.

David E. Shapiro
This past year was an unusually productive one for The American Law Institute. At our Annual Meeting in May 2022, we completed our work on four important projects: Restatement of Consumer Contracts, Model Penal Code: Sexual Assault and Related Offenses, Principles of Policing, and Principles of Student Sexual Misconduct: Procedural Frameworks for Colleges and Universities. These four project completions in 2022 come on the heels of four others at the 2021 Annual Meeting, which we conducted virtually, and three others at the 2019 Annual Meeting. (Because of the COVID-19 pandemic, we did not have an Annual Meeting in 2020.) To complete 11 projects—particularly ones of such significance—over three Annual Meetings is a record for the ALI and an accomplishment that should make all ALI members very proud.

It is also gratifying that a significant proportion of ALI members contributed substantively to these projects, as Council members, Advisers, participants in Members Consultative Groups, and participants at the numerous Annual Meetings at which portions of the completed projects were discussed. To each of them, I owe a huge debt of gratitude. And, I am especially grateful to the Reporters and Associate Reporters, who made their ALI work the centerpiece of their intellectual output for many years—in some cases close to a decade. I was recently asked about my main goal as the ALI Director and indicated that it was to recruit the leading academics in each field to serve as Reporters on our projects. That we now succeed in doing so is powerful proof of the esteem in which our work is held in the legal academy.

The completion of projects was not the only notable accomplishment of the 2022 Annual Meeting. The members who followed these projects over the years know how controversial each of the completed projects was at times. And, yet, we came together and discussed the remaining difficult issues in an atmosphere of respect and constructive engagement that, unfortunately, is now increasingly rare in our society.

Also, it was clear that, after a three-year hiatus, members really enjoyed being together in person again. While the ALI was able to move its work forward when in-person meetings were not possible, I believe that we were able to do so because we had accumulated a great deal of institutional capital over the years, which we were inevitably drawing down. It was gratifying to see this critically important asset being replenished.

As David Levi noted in his President’s letter, in addition to the ALI’s projects, each of which must receive approval by the Council and membership, he and I also convened a distinguished bipartisan group to consider and propose possible reforms to the Electoral Count Act, which governs the procedures under which Congress tallies the electoral votes in presidential elections. This group reached consensus on a set of recommendations that are now reflected in bipartisan legislation that is pending before Congress and might be enacted before the end of the current session. The ALI’s painstaking process—and requirement of approval of each Section of each project by both the Council and the membership—is a source of our strength. But it is not a process that lends itself to addressing time-sensitive issues, like the Electoral Count Act reforms, for which a resolution before the next presidential election is of obvious importance. We are currently evaluating other ways in which the ALI might usefully contribute to the resolution of particularly pressing matters.

A great deal of the ALI’s attention is currently devoted to the planning of its 100th anniversary, which will take place at the 2023 Annual Meeting. I hope that each member will make every effort to attend this extraordinary milestone! We are planning special events at the Library of Congress and the National Building Museum. And, during the course of our plenary sessions, we will have compelling panels on the ALI’s history over the past 100 years, and on how technological and societal developments are likely to affect the ALI’s work over the next 100 years. Moreover, Oxford University Press is now in the final steps of the publication process for a volume reflecting on our history, edited by Professors Robert W. Gordon of Stanford Law School and Andrew Gold of Brooklyn Law School, which consists of chapters by almost two dozen leading figures, who reflected on different aspects of our substantive work and on the nature of our institution. We are very hopeful that the book will be published in time for it to be available at the Annual Meeting.

In this past year, the ALI also experienced a significant transition. Stephanie Middleton, our spectacularly talented Deputy Director, retired after more than 12 years in this critically important position. When Stephanie announced her retirement, a pall of institutional concern descended over the ALI. Finding a replacement who would fill Stephanie’s shoes seemed like a low-probability proposition. But, following a nationwide search, we hit the jackpot with the hiring of Eleanor Barrett, who had been the Associate Dean for Curricular Affairs at the University of Pennsylvania’s Carey Law School. I have now worked closely with Eleanor since July and, like Stephanie, she is truly extraordinary. Many of our members have already interacted with Eleanor at project meetings and I hope that, in the coming years, all of you will get to experience her intellectual prowess, organizational skill, and personal wisdom.

The primary contribution of ALI members to our institution is intellectual, through the careful review of draft after draft in project after project. But we also need to rely on their generosity for the financial support necessary to perform our work. This issue is now particularly salient because we are about to enter the last year of our 100th Anniversary Campaign: “The ALI Second Century Fund: Celebrating Our Legacy, Securing Our Future.” The primary goal of the campaign is to ensure that we will be able to preserve our financial independence and continue performing our important work during our second century. All gifts to the ALI between July 1, 2016, when its silent phase began, to December 31, 2023, when the campaign will end, count towards the campaign’s $35 million goal. We are currently around the $28 million mark, having raised around $5 million over the last year. We are very grateful to all our members who have contributed so far. As we enter the campaign’s final year, we hope that each member will make a contribution that is generous in light of their financial circumstances, and that each member will make a gift of some sort.

By becoming Sustaining Members, contributing to our Annual Fund, supporting the class gift after 25 years of membership, and through estate planning and bequests, you enable us to engage in a variety of other institutionally compelling activities, such as providing generous assistance for members outside of the private sector to be able to participate in our meetings, and to undertake projects, such as Principles of Policing, that are not likely to yield significant book sales or a large number of electronic clicks but that are nonetheless compelling to further our rule-of-law mission. At this point, when we have already received the bulk of possible seven-figure and high-six-figure gifts, the most likely path to our goal is through the success of our 100 for 100 program, under which we hope to persuade 100 members with the requisite financial resources to pledge $100,000 to the campaign, payable over 10 years, through a bequest, or through a combination of the two. You will do something truly significant for the ALI by joining this program! Please feel free to reach out to me anytime to discuss your gift to our campaign.

The American Law Institute does so much to strengthen the rule of law, which is one of the most compelling goals for our society. I hope that each of our members finds us worthy of their intellectual and financial support.
The American Law Institute
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Leadership Changes

Council Elections

The American Law Institute's membership has elected four new members to the Institute's Council.

At the 2022 Annual Meeting, the Institute’s membership elected four new members to the ALI’s Council, which determines projects and activities to be undertaken by the Institute and approves the work, along with the membership, as representing the position of the Institute.

The new Council members are Thomas A. Balmer of the Oregon Supreme Court, Richard R.W. Brooks of New York University School of Law, Michael J. Garcia of the New York State Court of Appeals, and Cristina M. Rodríguez of Yale Law School.

Thomas A. Balmer was appointed to the Oregon Supreme Court in 2001 and has been elected and reelected four times. He served as Chief Justice from 2012 to 2018. Before his appointment, he was a partner in a Portland law firm, where his practice focused on business and regulatory litigation and appeals. From 1993 to 1997, he was Oregon Deputy Attorney General, advising elected officials and agency heads on administrative and constitutional matters. Judge Balmer has tried cases and briefed and argued appeals in Oregon and federal courts, including the U.S. Supreme Court.

During his term as Chief Justice, Judge Balmer served on the Board of Directors of the Conference of Chief Justices and was Chair of the Civil Justice Improvements Committee. That committee oversaw a broad-based multiyear project that studied and made recommendations to reduce cost and delay in civil litigation—Call to Action: Achieving Civil Justice for All (2016). The report, and later implementation tools developed by the National Center for State Courts, has served as a roadmap for improvements in state courts across the country.

Richard R.W. Brooks is the Emilie M. Bullowa Professor of Law at New York University. He joined the law faculty at NYU in 2018, after holding the Leighton Homer Surbeck Professorship of Law at Yale Law School followed by the Charles Keller Beekman Professorship of Law at Columbia Law School. Professor Brooks’s scholarly approach combines economics, game theory, and legal analytical methods from private-law fields—such as contract, property, fiduciary, and corporate law—to study social organization more broadly.

Professor Brooks has published numerous books and articles that analyze behavior through the lens of economics, custom, and law. His most recent book, Saving the Neighborhood: Racially Restrictive Covenants, Law, and Social Norms, (coauthored with Carol Rose) examines the history and enduring legacy of racially restrictive property agreements (or racial covenants), which the Supreme Court ruled unenforceable in 1948.

Michael J. Garcia was appointed to the New York State Court of Appeals in 2016. He began his legal career as an associate at Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP in 1989. From 1990 to 1992, he served as Law Clerk to Hon. Judith S. Kaye, then Associate Judge of the New York State Court of Appeals. From 1992 to 2001, Judge Garcia served as an Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York. For his work in a number of high-profile terrorism investigations and trials, he received two Attorney General’s Awards for Exceptional Service and the Attorney General’s Award for Distinguished Service.

In 2001, he became Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement in the Bureau of Industry and Security, and in December 2002, he became Acting Commissioner of the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) at the U.S. Department of Justice. In that role, he led the transition of the agency into the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. From March 2003 to August 2005, Judge Garcia served as Assistant Secretary for Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) at the Department of Homeland Security. He was the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York from 2005 to 2008, when he joined Kirkland & Ellis LLP.

Cristina M. Rodríguez is the Leighton Homer Surbeck Professor of Law at Yale Law School. Her fields of research and teaching include constitutional law and theory, immigration law and policy, and administrative law and process. In 2021, she was appointed by President Biden to cochair the Commission on the Supreme Court of the United States.

Professor Rodriguez joined Yale Law School in 2013 after serving for two years as Deputy Assistant Attorney General in the Office of Legal Counsel at the U.S. Department of Justice. She was on the faculty at the New York University School of Law from 2004 to 2012 and has been Visiting Professor of Law at Stanford, Harvard, and Columbia Law Schools. Following law school, she clerked for Judge David S. Tatel of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit and Justice Sandra Day O’Connor of the Supreme Court of the United States.
Jane Stapleton is Master of Christ’s College. She is a binational legal scholar, having held positions as both the Research Professor of Law at the Australian National University College of Law in Canberra, Australia, and the Ernest E. Smith Professor of Law at the University of Texas at Austin. She is also an Emeritus Fellow of Balliol College and a Statutory Visiting Professor of Law at Oxford University. Originally trained as a scientist, she earned a Ph.D. from the University of Adelaide, but resigned her postdoctoral position in Cambridge to train as a lawyer. She later studied at Oxford, where she obtained a second Doctor of Philosophy degree.

Larry S. Stewart is a retired partner at Stewart Tilghman Fox Bianchi & Cain. His practice had focused on catastrophic injuries, particularly victims of defective products and medical malpractice. Additionally, he has tried many other types of cases including libel, construction claims, commercial disputes, and other non-personal injury cases. In 2001, he established Trial Lawyers Care, the largest pro bono private-practice organization in history. Mr. Stewart served for 10 years on the Florida Supreme Court Committee on Standard Jury Instructions in Civil Cases.

Diane P. Wood is a judge on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. Prior to joining the court, she taught at the University of Chicago Law School, and she continues to teach there as a Senior Lecturer in Law. She also served two years as Deputy Assistant Attorney General in the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice. She clerked for U.S. Supreme Court Justice Harry A. Blackmun and Judge Irving L. Goldberg of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

Kim J. Askew is a partner at DLA Piper. Ms. Askew has extensive experience in representing clients in complex commercial litigation in a variety of industries. She also represents clients in significant employment matters involving claims of race, disability, gender and age discrimination, and sexual harassment and in litigation involving trade secrets, noncompete, and nonsolicitation and employment agreements. She clerked for federal Judge Jerry L. Buchmeyer in the Northern District of Texas.

Larry S. Stewart

Kim J. Askew

Kenneth C. Frazier is Executive Chairman of the Board of Merck & Co., Inc., and previously served as the company’s President and CEO. Before joining Merck in 1992 as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of the Astra Merck Group, Mr. Frazier had spent 14 years in private practice at Drinker Biddle & Reath. As General Counsel at Merck, he oversaw the company’s defense against Vioxx-related litigation. From 2007 to 2010, he served as Executive Vice President and President of the company’s Global Human Health unit.

Paul L. Friedman has served on the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia since 1994. Previously, he practiced at White & Case for 18 years and was Managing Partner of its Washington, D.C. office. Before that, he was an Assistant to the Solicitor General of the United States and an Assistant U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia. He clerked for Judge Aubrey E. Robinson, Jr., of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, and Judge Roger Robb of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. He is a member of the American Academy of Appellate Lawyers and a Fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers.

William C. Hubbard is Dean of University of South Carolina School of Law. Previously he was a partner at Nelson Mullins. His practice focused on business litigation related to breach of contract, business torts, breach-of-fiduciary-duty claims, unfair trade practices, energy and utilities disputes, and class actions. He is the Chair of the Board of Directors of The World Justice Project, a multinational, multidisciplinary initiative to strengthen the rule of law worldwide. He served as President of the American Bar Association from 2014 to 2015 and previously served a two-year term as Chair of the ABA’s House of Delegates. He clerked for Judge Robert F. Chapman of the U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina.
Eleanor BarrettAppointed Deputy Director

Eleanor Barrett joined the staff of The American Law Institute as Deputy Director in July 2022. After a nationwide search, the Institute’s Executive Committee unanimously approved Barrett’s appointment. The Deputy Director oversees the day-to-day operations of ALI’s Philadelphia headquarters and supports the Director in the Institute’s work.

Ms. Barrett graduated magna cum laude from Princeton University with an A.B. in Public and International Affairs and received her J.D., summa cum laude, from the University of Pennsylvania Law School. Prior to joining the ALI staff, she served as the Associate Dean for Curricular Affairs at the University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School. She previously developed, administered, and taught in Penn Law’s Legal Practice Skills program as the Denise A. Rotko Associate Dean for Legal Practice Skills.

Before returning to Penn Law, Ms. Barrett served as a law clerk to Marjorie O. Rendell of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit and worked in private practice in Washington, D.C., and Philadelphia, where she engaged in all aspects of federal- and state-court litigation, with a particular focus on writing trial- and appellate-level briefs. In between college and law school, she worked as a financial analyst.

“The ALI is fortunate to have found Eleanor at this time,” said ALI Director Richard L. Revesz at the time of Ms. Barrett’s appointment. “Her experience as a practicing lawyer, law school faculty member, and administrator makes her the ideal candidate to fill this important Institute role. The Deputy Director functions not only as ALI’s chief operating officer, but also as a trusted advisor on all of the Institute’s projects. I am excited to begin working with Eleanor as the ALI continues its important project work, as well as having her help to usher in ALI’s second century. Although Stephanie will be impossible to replace, I’m confident that Eleanor will be an extremely worthy successor.”

Stephanie A. Middleton Retires

Stephanie A. Middleton served as Deputy Director from 2010 to 2022. To ALI’s leadership, members, and staff, she was a natural mentor, counselor, and leader, but also at times she has been called upon to be a mediator, negotiator, and brilliant strategist.

Ms. Middleton had a remarkable career before joining ALI in 2010. A graduate of Yale University and the University of Pennsylvania Law School, she previously served as staff director and general counsel for the U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary, Senator Arlen Specter, Ranking Member. Before that, she was chief counsel for litigation at CIGNA Corporation, a global health-services firm headquartered in Philadelphia. She also worked for more than two years as deputy general counsel for Pennsylvania Governor Tom Ridge. Stephanie began her legal career as an associate at Morgan, Lewis & Bockius in Philadelphia. Before attending law school, she taught for several years at a large Philadelphia-area high school. We all wish her well and hope to see her as an ALI member at a future project meeting or the Annual Meeting.

Stephanie has relocated to California to be closer to her children and grandchildren. The ALI Council, project Reporters, members, and staff wish her the absolute best and hope that we will continue to benefit from her vast knowledge of ALI projects and the law when she continues to participate as an ALI member at project meetings and the Annual Meeting.
U.S. Attorney General Merrick B. Garland received the Henry J. Friendly Medal at The American Law Institute’s 2022 Annual Meeting. The Honorable Raymond J. Lohier, Jr., of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit presented the award at ALI’s Annual Dinner on Tuesday, May 17.

Judge Lohier, when presenting the award, praised Attorney General Garland’s generosity and long service to the administration of justice. In his acceptance of the award, Attorney General Garland reflected on his time as Judge Friendly’s law clerk, and how he has carried lessons learned at that time throughout his career. He also addressed the recent tragedy in Buffalo, New York, and reiterated the Department of Justice’s dedication to conducting a thorough and expeditious investigation.

Established in memory of Judge Henry J. Friendly, who served on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit for more than 26 years, the Medal recognizes contributions to the law in the tradition of Judge Friendly and the Institute and is not limited to ALI members or those associated with its projects. The Friendly Medal is not awarded every year but only as appropriate.

“We are delighted that Attorney General Garland will receive the Friendly Medal this year,” said David F. Levi, President of The American Law Institute. “Attorney General Garland clerked for Judge Friendly, so it is not surprising that over his long career he has exemplified those qualities of Judge Friendly that this award was created to celebrate: brilliance, judiciousness, careful analysis, scholarship, reasoned application, tireless energy, and keen wit. Attorney General Garland has had a long and distinguished career on and off the bench, during which he has worked diligently to support the rule of law. I am so pleased that ALI’s Awards Committee, chaired by Judge Lohier, decided to honor him and his legacy at this year’s Annual Meeting.”

Attorney General Merrick B. Garland was sworn in as the 86th Attorney General of the United States on March 11, 2021. As the nation’s chief law enforcement officer, Attorney General Garland leads the Justice Department’s 115,000 employees, who work across the United States and in more than 50 countries worldwide. Under his leadership, the Department of Justice is dedicated to upholding the rule of law, keeping our country safe, and protecting the civil rights of all Americans.

Immediately preceding his confirmation as Attorney General, Attorney General Garland was a judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. He was appointed to that position in 1997, served as Chief Judge of the Circuit from 2013 to 2020, and served as Chair of the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference of the United States from 2017 to 2020. In 2016, President Obama nominated him for the position of Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court.

Before becoming a federal judge, Attorney General Garland spent a substantial part of his professional life at the Department of Justice. He served in both career and noncareer positions under five Attorneys General, including as Special Assistant to the Attorney General, Assistant United States Attorney, Deputy Assistant Attorney General in the Criminal Division, and Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General. In those roles, his responsibilities spanned the work of the Department, including criminal, civil, and national-security matters. They also included direct supervision of investigations and prosecutions of national importance, including the Oklahoma City bombing, Unabomber, and Montana Freemen cases.

Earlier in his career, Attorney General Garland was a partner in the law firm of Arnold & Porter, where his practice involved civil and criminal litigation, antitrust, and administrative law. He also taught antitrust at Harvard Law School and published law-review articles on both antitrust and administrative law.

Steven O. Weise Receives Distinguished Service Award

The Distinguished Service Award was presented to Steven O. Weise of Proskauer Rose on Tuesday, May 17. This award is given from time to time to a member who over many years has played a major role in the Institute as an institution, by accepting significant burdens as an officer, Council member, committee chair, or project participant and by helping keep the Institute on a steady course.

Mr. Weise helps keep the Institute on a steady course by wearing many hats. Elected to the ALI in 1992 and to the Council in 2012, he currently serves as Chair of the Investment Committee, previously having served as Chair of the ALI CLE Program and Marketing Committee. His dedication to helping the Institute provide continuing legal education for lawyers has continued after his duties as Chair concluded. In fact, Mr. Weise was one of the panelists at this year’s Annual Meeting ALI CLE Sunday Ethics Program on Emerging Technologies.

As ALI Co-Chair for Principles for a Data Economy, completed in 2021, Mr. Weise helped to coordinate the work of this joint venture with the European Law Institute, the first transatlantic collaboration of its kind. With his wide range of UCC expertise, he has served as an ALI designee on the Permanent Editorial Board for the Uniform Commercial Code since the mid-1990s. He also serves as an Adviser for the Restatement of the Law, Consumer Contracts, and Restatement of the Law Fourth, Property, projects.

While Mr. Weise has contributed deeply to projects connected to his professional experience, his enthusiasm and talent in taking information from one area of law and applying it to another is demonstrated in his widespread participation in the Members Consultative Groups of countless projects, including several Restatement Third of Torts projects: Concluding Provisions, Remedies, Intentional Torts to Persons, Liability for Economic Harm, and Liability for Physical and Emotional Harm. The work produced by the Institute would not be as high of a caliber without the generalist’s perspective.

Outside of his time at ALI, Mr. Weise is a partner at Proskauer’s Corporate Department and practices in all areas of commercial law. His experience in financing is extensive, especially in those secured by personal property, including structured financing. He also handles matters involving California real-property anti-deficiency laws, workouts, guarantees, sales of goods, equipment leasing, commercial paper and checks, letters of credit, and investment securities. Mr. Weise’s experience covers e-commerce, contract law (including “plain English” drafting), legal opinions, and consumer-credit-law compliance matters. He lectures widely on commercial-law topics and legal-opinion letters, and is the author of more than 100 articles on these topics.

Mr. Weise earned his B.A. from Yale University and his J.D. from University of California, Berkeley School of Law.
The American Law Institute has designated Nora Freeman Engstrom of Stanford Law School, Reporter for the Restatement of the Law Third, Torts: Concluding Provisions, as the R. Ammi Cutter Reporter’s Chair, and Henry E. Smith of Harvard Law School, Reporter for the Restatement of the Law Fourth, Property, as the A. James Casner Reporter’s Chair. Chairs are designated upon recommendation of the Director to the President of ALI.

“We are grateful to Professors Engstrom and Smith for their dedication to the Institute’s work as Reporters,” said ALI President David F. Levi upon announcing the designation during the ALI Council meeting on March 2. “These designations are a mark of distinction and indicate our appreciation for their outstanding service.”

Nora Freeman Engstrom, R. Ammi Cutter Reporter’s Chair

Established in 1991 in honor of R. Ammi Cutter, the Cutter Chair is occupied by an active Reporter of proven effectiveness for the remaining duration of the project on which the Reporter is engaged. Cutter was an associate justice of the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts from 1956 to 1972 and president of ALI from 1976 to 1980. During his more than 55 years of ALI membership, Cutter served as an Adviser on six Model Code and Restatement projects.

Professor Engstrom serves as a Reporter for Restatement of the Law Third, Torts: Concluding Provisions. She is a nationally recognized expert in both tort law and legal ethics. Much of her work explores the day-to-day operation of the tort system and particularly the tort system’s interaction with alternative compensation mechanisms, such as no-fault automobile insurance, the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, and workers’ compensation. Professor Engstrom has also written extensively on trial practice, complex litigation (including MDLs), attorney advertising, alternative litigation finance, contingency fees, tort reform, and law firms she calls “settlement mills”—high-volume personal-injury law practices that heavily advertise and mass-produce the resolution of claims.

Before joining Stanford’s faculty in 2009, Professor Engstrom was a Research Dean’s Scholar at Georgetown University Law Center and an associate at Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP. She was also a law clerk to Merrick B. Garland of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and Henry H. Kennedy Jr. of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. Before that, she worked at the U.S. Department of Justice, focusing on terrorism and national-security issues. She graduated from Dartmouth College in 1997, summa cum laude, and from Stanford Law School in 2002, with Distinction and as a member of Order of the Coif.

Henry E. Smith, A. James Casner Reporter’s Chair

The Casner Chair was established to honor the memory of Harvard Law School Professor A. James Casner, who, as a Reporter and Adviser for various ALI projects for over half a century, made profound contributions to the development of the law of property, the taxation of trusts and estates, and estate planning. Casner served the Institute as a Reporter over a long period of years, commencing in 1937 when he was designated a Special Reporter and a member of the Advisory Committee for portions of the original Restatement of the Law, Property.

Since 2014, Professor Smith has served as Reporter for Restatement of the Law Fourth, Property. He is the Fessenden Professor of Law at Harvard Law School, where he directs the Project on the Foundations of Private Law. Previously, he taught at the Northwestern University School of Law and was the Fred A. Johnston Professor of Property and Environmental Law at Yale Law School. He holds an A.B. from Harvard, a Ph.D. in Linguistics from Stanford, and a J.D. from Yale. After law school he clerked for Ralph K. Winter of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Professor Smith has written primarily on the law and economics of property and intellectual property, with a focus on how property-related institutions lower information costs and constrain strategic behavior. He teaches primarily in the areas of property, intellectual property, natural resources, remedies, and law and economics.

Projects Approved at the 2022 Annual Meeting

This year, ALI members voted to approve four projects: Principles of the Law, Policing; Principles of the Law, Student Sexual Misconduct: Procedural Frameworks for Colleges and Universities; Restatement of the Law, Consumer Contracts; and Model Penal Code: Sexual Assault and Related Offenses.

The Reporters, subject to oversight by the Director, are each updating the project drafts to correct and update citations and other references, to make editorial and stylistic improvements, and to implement any remaining substantive changes agreed to during discussion with the membership or by motions approved at the Annual Meeting.

Principles of the Law, Policing

The Policing Principles project began in 2015, and is the Institute’s first project in this critical area. Principles are primarily addressed to legislatures, administrative agencies, or private actors.

The project Reporter is Barry Friedman of New York University School of Law. The project’s Associate Reporters are Brandon L. Garrett of Duke University School of Law, Rachel A. Harmon of University of Virginia School of Law, Tracey L. Meares of Yale Law School, Maria Ponomarenko of University of Minnesota Law School, and Christopher Slobogin of Vanderbilt University Law School. Christy E. Lopez of Georgetown Law Center served as Project Fellow.

“This project provides the framework on which to build just and rational policing laws, policies, and practices,” explained ALI Director Richard L. Revesz. “Since this is a Principles project, rather than a Restatement, our goal is not to synthesize judicial precedent. Instead, the Reporters are working to develop best practices for issues concerning policing that have significant legal underpinnings. Our work is informed by a variety of sources, including existing policies and practices in various jurisdictions, social-science research, and constitutional norms. The audience for the project is broad, including legislatures, policing agencies, bodies that regulate or conduct oversight on policing, the public, and also, in some instances, the courts.”

“The goal of the project is to set out a series of principles, or best practices, for policing in the United States,” said project Reporter Barry Friedman. “We assembled these principles by gathering the knowledge and guidance from a wide range of stakeholders, speaking to all of the various sides of the questions we wanted to tackle. Our hope is that legislative bodies would think that these principles provide a good benchmark for sound policing, and that policing agencies will feel they could and would adopt these practices and policies.”

These Principles already are having an impact in the world. They have been shared with legislators and additional policymakers, and some of the concepts in the Principles—concerning democratic governance, pretextual stops, use of force, and the like—are already being written into law. Said Reporter Friedman, “[t]he Reporters all are active in efforts to spread the word further, and these efforts will increase now that the project is completed.”
This subject matter involves sources of law that are in the midst of rapid evolution. In recent years, the legal landscape facing colleges and universities has changed dramatically, as a result of new case law, new state and federal legislation, and shifting federal guidance and regulation that continues to evolve today.

The project launched in 2015, led by Reporter Vicki C. Jackson of Harvard Law School and Associate Reporter Suzanne B. Goldberg of Columbia Law School. Professor Goldberg stepped down from the Principles project in January 2021 after joining the Biden Administration as Deputy Assistant Secretary for Strategic Operations and Outreach at the U.S. Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights. Professor Jackson stepped down as Reporter in December 2021, becoming a Consultant to the project.

In October 2021, Professor E. Thomas Sullivan, President Emeritus and Professor of Law and Political Science at The University of Vermont, was appointed Reporter, having served as a longtime Adviser to the project.

“The legal landscape has changed considerably in the seven years since the project was launched,” explained ALI Director Richard L. Revesz. “In particular, there was a proliferation of due-process challenges to institutional adjudicatory provisions. And, under the Trump Administration, the Department of Education withdrew the prior guidance materials that had played a significant role, at the time we launched the project, in determining how colleges and universities structured their procedural frameworks in this area; it followed suit in May 2020 by promulgating a set of regulations. In turn, the Biden Administration launched a comprehensive review of these regulations, which is ongoing. Our draft acknowledges the regulatory regime and indicates where our best practices differ from the regulations currently in effect. I am enormously grateful to Professors Jackson, Sullivan, and Goldberg, as well as to their dedicated Advisers and Members Consultative Group for their successful navigation of this very complicated subject.”

“I am delighted to have signed on as a Reporter for Principles of the Law, Student Sexual Misconduct: Procedural Frameworks for Colleges and Universities.
Procedural Frameworks for Colleges and Universities,” said Professor Sullivan. “I would like to thank Vicki Jackson and Suzanne Goldberg for their incredible work. I speak for all of us when I say that we believe that school administrators in large and small, and public and private colleges and universities will greatly benefit from this guidance that seeks to harmonize current understanding and policies in this difficult area.”

These Principles’ scope of inquiry concerns issues of procedure for responding to, investigating, and resolving allegations of misconduct, rather than the efforts that should be made to help prevent those occurrences through measures affecting the general campus environment, or the substantive standard that should apply to the conduct at issue. The project does not address the correct substantive definitions of the prohibited conduct in college and university settings.

The Tentative Draft presented and approved by the ALI membership includes the complete project, which is divided into 10 Chapters: First Principles for Procedural Frameworks; Notice and Clarity of Policies; Consistency of Implementation; Support and Interim Measures; Reporting of Sexual Assault and Related Misconduct; Inquiries and Investigations; Informal Resolution of Sexual-Misconduct Reports and Complaints; Formal Resolution of Sexual-Misconduct Complaints; Sanctions; Appeals; Integrity of the Process: Confidentiality, Disclosure, Misrepresentation, Retaliation; and Internal Student Discipline and the Criminal Justice System.

Restatement of the Law, Consumer Contracts

The American Law Institute’s membership voted in May 2022 to approve Restatement of the Law, Consumer Contracts. The project Reporters are Oren Bar-Gill of Harvard Law School, Omri Ben-Shahar of the University of Chicago Law School, and Florencia Marotta-Wurgler of New York University School of Law.

“This project had a unique genesis,” explained ALI Director Richard L. Revesz. “In 2011, the Institute announced the first winners of its new Young Scholars Medal (subsequently renamed the Early Career Scholars Medal). One of the two winners, Professor Oren Bar-Gill, then of New York University School of Law, had devoted a significant portion of his academic career to the study of contracts in which there is significant imbalance of information between sellers and buyers and in which contract terms are not negotiated by the parties. Many of us encounter contracts of this sort daily when we buy certain products or services, either in traditional stores or online. Following Professor Bar-Gill’s presentation of his work at the 2011 Annual Meeting, the Institute launched a Restatement of the Law, Consumer Contracts.”

The Introduction of Tentative Draft No. 2 of the Consumer Contracts Restatement describes this area of contract law:

Consumer contracts present a fundamental challenge to the law of contracts, arising from the asymmetry in information, sophistication, and stakes between the parties to these contracts—the business and the consumers. On one side stands a well-informed and counseled business party, entering numerous identical transactions, with the tools and sophistication to understand and draft detailed legal terms and design practices that serve its commercial goals. On the other side stand consumers who are informed only about some core aspects of the transaction, but rarely about the list of standard terms. These consumers enter the transaction solely for personal or household purposes without any professional understanding of its legal contours.

“This Restatement seeks to clarify how the courts have applied the classic principles of contract law embodied in the Restatement of the Law Second, Contracts, to transactions that either were not contemplated at the time the earlier Restatement was completed, and therefore not addressed, like the purchase of software licenses and all online transactions, or that became a more significant part of the economy since that time,” added Director Revesz. “In this regard, two concepts have proven to be particularly challenging—adoption of standard terms and unconscionability—and the Restatement has devoted significant attention to these matters.”
Model Penal Code: Sexual Assault and Related Offenses

The Model Penal Code: Sexual Assault project began in 2012, and is a reexamination of Article 213 of the Model Penal Code (approved by the ALI in 1962). This is the second project to revisit portions of the 1962 Code; the Model Penal Code: Sentencing project, which updated the Sentencing provisions, was approved in 2017. The project Reporter is Stephen J. Schulhofer, and its Associate Reporter is Erin E. Murphy, both of New York University School of Law. Professor Murphy is currently on leave from NYU, serving as senior policy advisor for criminal justice for the White House Domestic Policy Council.

"I am enormously grateful to Steve and Erin," said Director Revesz. "They have tackled a very difficult set of issues with great insight and intelligence and have been open to the large number of suggestions they received, many of them mutually inconsistent. The Advisers, Members Consultative Group, Council, and membership have also devoted a great deal of time and energy and have significantly contributed to the quality of the project. They similarly deserve our collective thanks."

The revised Article 213 is organized into 12 Sections: General Principles of Liability; Sexual Assault by Aggravated Physical Force or Restraint; Sexual Assault by Physical Force or Restraint; Sexual Assault of an Incapacitated, Vulnerable, or Legally Restricted Person; Sexual Assault by Extortion; Sexual Assault by Prohibited Deception; Sexual Assault in the Absence of Consent; Offensive Sexual Contact by Physical Force or Restraint or by Surrerptitious Incapacitation; Offensive Sexual Contact; Sexual Offenses Involving Minors; Sex Trafficking; Affirmative Defense of Explicit Prior Permission; and Sentencing and Collateral Consequences of Conviction.
Current Projects

The ALI Drafting Process

ALI’s drafting process brings together members of the bench, bar, and academia to review and discuss drafts of Restatements of the Law, Principles of the Law, and Model and Uniform Codes.

Project ideas are generally initiated by the Director and the Projects Committee. The Director then investigates a potential project and develops a project proposal, which usually includes a prospectus from a proposed Reporter (or Reporters). Once approved by the Council, work on the project begins.

A diverse group of Advisers is assembled by the Reporter, Director, and Deputy Director and approved by the Council. This group of subject-matter experts makes a commitment to review the project drafts and provide input to the Reporter. The Director may also appoint Liaisons from other legal organizations or appoint additional advisory panels. ALI members may join the Members Consultative Group (MCG) for a project. MCG participants are not necessarily experts in the project’s area of law, but provide a vital perspective, as they read the drafts the way the project’s intended audience would.

The Reporter prepares Preliminary Drafts of the project for review by the Advisers, Liaisons, and MCG. After revising the material in light of comments received from these groups, the Reporter submits a Council Draft for review and approval by the Council. Once a draft is approved by the Council, the Reporter prepares a Tentative Draft, incorporating any revisions directed by the Council, to be submitted to the ALI membership for approval at an Annual Meeting. After discussion, the members vote on a “Boskey motion” to approve the draft subject to the discussion at the Meeting and to the usual editorial prerogative. This drafting cycle continues until each segment of the project has been approved by the Council and the membership. Then the Reporter, subject to the Director’s oversight, readsies the official text for publication.

Members interested in any of these projects can access drafts in the Projects section of the ALI website. Those who join a Members Consultative Group and current project participants will be alerted when future meetings are scheduled and when drafts are available.

Restatements

RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW, CHILDREN AND THE LAW

This Restatement deals comprehensively with the legal regulation of children, rather than solely with family-law matters. The project has four Parts—Children in Families, Children in Schools, Children in the Justice System, and Children in Society. At the 2022 Annual Meeting, the membership voted to approve Tentative Draft No. 4, which includes material from Chapter 1, Parental Authority and Responsibilities, and Chapter 2, State Intervention for Abuse and Neglect, of Part I (Children in Families); Chapter 5, State Duty to Educate Children, of Part II (Children in Schools); and Chapter 12, Pre-Adjudication, Chapter 13, Delinquency Proceedings, Chapter 14, Delinquency Dispositions, and Chapter 15, Juveniles in the Criminal Justice System, of Part III (Children in the Justice System), as well as three new Comments to be added to previously approved Sections in Part II.

Reporter:
Elizabeth S. Scott, Columbia Law School, New York, NY

Associate Reporters:
Richard J. Bonnie, University of Virginia School of Law, Charlottesville, VA
Emily Buss, University of Chicago Law School, Chicago, IL
Clare Huntington, Fordham University School of Law, New York, NY
Solangel Maldonado, Seton Hall University School of Law, Newark, NJ

RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW THIRD, CONFLICT OF LAWS

This project reexamines the increasingly important subject of conflict of laws in light of significant legal developments in the field since the influential Restatement Second was published in 1971. The project will include Chapters on Domicile, Judicial Jurisdiction, Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments, and Choice of Law, among others. This year, the membership voted to approve Tentative Draft No. 3, which contains Topic 1, Introduction, of Chapter 5 on Choice of Law.

Reporter:
Kermit Roosevelt III, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School, Philadelphia, PA

Associate Reporters:
Ann L. Estin, University of Iowa College of Law, Iowa City, IA
Laura Elizabeth Little, Temple University Beasley School of Law, Philadelphia, PA
Christopher A. Whytock, University of California, Irvine School of Law, Irvine, CA
RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW, CONSTITUTIONAL TORTS

This Restatement will examine the law of individual rights to sue government employees and others “acting under color of state law” under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Bivens actions. It will also cover restrictions on § 1983 actions imposed by the Prison Litigation Reform Act and the overlapping law of federal habeas corpus.

Reporters:
John Calvin Jeffries, Jr., University of Virginia School of Law, Charlottesville, VA
Pamela S. Karlan, Stanford Law School, Stanford, CA

RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW, COPYRIGHT

This Restatement encompasses general copyright law. At this year’s Annual Meeting, Tentative Draft No. 3 was presented to the membership, which contains material from Chapter 1, Subject Matter and Standards: Generally; Chapter 2, Subject Matter of Copyright: Scope of Protection; Chapter 3, Initial Ownership, Transfers, Voluntary Licenses, and Termination of Grants; Chapter 4, Copyright Formalities; Chapter 5, Duration of Copyright; Chapter 6, Copyright Rights and Limitations; and Chapter 9, Copyright Remedies.

The following actions were taken:
- A motion to amend § 40 was withdrawn.
- A motion to delete § 41, Comment e, was withdrawn after the Reporters agreed to remove the Comment from the material for approval, pending release of the U.S. Copyright Office’s report on online publication.
- A motion to amend § 46, as revised before the Meeting, was withdrawn after the Reporters agreed to clarify Comment a as discussed with the movant.
- A motion to delete all the black letter in § 54 and move its content to the Comments to § 28 on ownership failed upon a vote by the membership.
- A motion to remove § 15 from inclusion in the Boskey motion did not pass.
- The membership voted to approve Tentative Draft No. 3.

Reporter:
Christopher Jon Sprigman, New York University School of Law, New York, NY

Associate Reporters:
Daniel J. Gervais, Vanderbilt University Law School, Nashville, TN
Lydia Pallas Loren, Lewis & Clark Law School, Portland, OR
R. Anthony Reese, University of California, Irvine School of Law, Irvine, CA
Molly S. Van Houweling, University of California, Berkeley School of Law, Berkeley, CA

RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW FOURTH, PROPERTY

This Restatement seeks to bring comprehensiveness and coherence to American property law. Subjects to be covered include the classification of entitlements, possession, accession, and acquisition; ownership powers; protection of and limits on ownership; divided and shared ownership; title and transfer; easements, servitudes, and land use; and public rights and takings. At the 2022 Annual Meeting, the membership voted to approve Tentative Draft No. 3, which includes material on Nuisance, Bailments, The Estate System and Related Matters, and Zoning, Planning, and Subdivision.

Reporter:
Henry E. Smith, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, MA

Associate Reporters:
Maureen E. Brady, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, MA
Sara C. Bronin, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY
Richard R.W. Brooks, New York University School of Law, New York, NY
Yun-chien Chang, Institutum Iurisprudentiae, Academia Sinica, Taipei City, Taiwan
R. Wilson Freyermuth, University of Missouri School of Law, Columbia, MO
John C.P. Goldberg, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, MA
Brian A. Lee, Brooklyn Law School, Brooklyn, NY
Thomas W. Merrill, Columbia Law School, New York, NY
Christopher M. Newman, George Mason University, Antonin Scalia Law School, Arlington, VA
RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW THIRD, TORTS: DEFAMATION AND PRIVACY
This project is part of ALI’s ongoing revision of the Restatement Second of Torts. This Restatement addresses torts dealing with personal and business reputation and dignity, including defamation, business disparagement, and rights of privacy. Among other issues, the updates will cover the substantial body of new issues relating to the internet. Launched in 2019, the project’s first draft included portions of Chapter 1, Invasions of Interest in Reputation, including Topic 1, Elements of a Cause of Action for Defamation and Topic 2, Defamatory Communications. Preliminary Draft No. 2 includes updates to these Topics.

Reporters:
Lyrissa Barnett Lidsky, University of Florida, Levin College of Law, Gainesville, FL
Robert C. Post, Yale Law School, New Haven, CT

RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW THIRD, TORTS: MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
Launched in 2019, this project is part of ALI’s ongoing revision of the Restatement Second of Torts. This Restatement addresses topics not covered in another part of the Restatement Third of Torts that either require updating since publication of the Restatement Second or were not previously addressed but should be covered in a modern torts Restatement. These topics will include medical liability, vicarious liability, wrongful death, and survival actions, among others. At the 2022 Annual Meeting, a motion to amend §§ 4 A-4 B failed. The membership voted to approve Tentative Draft No. 1.

Reporters:
Nora Freeman Engstrom, Stanford Law School, Stanford, CA
Michael D. Green, Washington University in St. Louis School of Law (Visiting Professor), St. Louis, MO

Associate Reporters:
Mark A. Hall, Wake Forest University School of Law, Winston-Salem, NC
Tanya D. Marsh, Wake Forest University School of Law, Winston-Salem, NC
Guy Miller Struve, Davis Polk & Wardwell (Retired), New York, NY

RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW THIRD, TORTS: REMEDIES
This project is part of ALI’s ongoing revision of the Restatement Second of Torts. This Restatement addresses tort damages and other remedies. It will include issues related to identifying the types of recoverable damages, such as past and future lost wages, medical expenses, disfigurement, and pain and suffering, as well as measuring damages, including discounting future earnings to present value, the effect of taxes, and structured settlements. At this year’s Annual Meeting, a motion to amend § 8 failed. A second motion to amend § 8 was withdrawn. The membership voted to approve §§ 1-4 and 6-8 of Tentative Draft No. 1. After discussion with the membership, the Reporters agreed to present a revised § 5 at a future Meeting.

Reporters:
Richard L. Hasen, University of California, Los Angeles School of Law, Los Angeles, CA
Douglas Laycock, University of Virginia School of Law, Charlottesville, VA
Principles

**PRINCIPLES OF THE LAW, GOVERNMENT ETHICS**

This project sets forth principles that will both reflect the emerging law of government ethics and provide guidelines to shape its future development. Specific topics include lobbying, gifts and other things of value given to public officials, conflicts of interest involving the private activities of public officials, the political uses of public office, and administration and enforcement mechanisms. Last presented at the 2021 Annual Meeting, the membership voted to approve Tentative Draft No. 3, which includes a portion of Chapter 5, Restrictions on Leaving or Entering Public Service (§§ 5.01(d), 514, 515); Chapter 6, Disclosure; and Chapter 7, Administration and Enforcement of Ethics Provisions.

**Reporter:** Richard Briffault, Columbia Law School, New York, NY

**Associate Reporter:** Richard W. Painter, University of Minnesota Law School, Minneapolis, MN

---

**PRINCIPLES OF THE LAW, HIGH-VOLUME CIVIL ADJUDICATION**

This project will address a serious challenge facing state courts: the adjudication of high-volume, high-stakes, low-dollar-value civil claims. These types of claims, which arise in such areas as debt collection, evictions, home foreclosure, and child support, comprise a significant proportion of state-court cases and are shaping the lives of millions of Americans, particularly women and people of color.

**Reporter:** David Freeman Engstrom, Stanford Law School, Stanford, CA

---

**UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES**

The American Law Institute and the Uniform Law Commission formed a joint committee in 2019 to review the Uniform Commercial Code with a view to recommending amendments or revisions to accommodate emerged and emerging technological developments.

At this year’s Annual Meeting, the ALI membership voted to approve a new UCC Article 12 that would govern the transfer of property rights in certain intangible digital assets (“controllable electronic records”) that have been or may be created using new technologies.

Amendments to Articles 1, 2, 2A, 3, 4, 4A, 5, 7, 8, and 9; and transition provisions were also approved. At its annual meeting in July 2022, the Uniform Law Commission voted to approve the amendments and Article 12. With both groups approving the UCC and Emerging Technologies, the amendments will now be promulgated for consideration by the states.
Publications

The Institute’s electronic and print publications fulfill two very important purposes: they are a major source of funds that support the Institute’s vital law-reform work, and they are the means by which that work is disseminated to practicing lawyers, judges, academics, and students throughout the world. Most ALI publications are accessible online through Westlaw, HeinOnline, and LexisNexis.

Beginning with the Restatement of the Law, Contracts, in 1932, the Institute’s Restatements of the Law, Principles of the Law, and model codes have earned an unparalleled reputation for excellence and objectivity. As evidence of the respect the courts have customarily accorded ALI publications, the Restatements and Principles of the Law have been cited in published decisions by U.S. courts over 218,300 times through June 2022.


The Restatement of the Law, The Law of American Indians, is now available. Approved by ALI in 2021, this is the first Restatement on this important area of law. The project was led by Reporter Matthew L.M. Fletcher of University of Michigan Law School, and Associate Reporters Wenona T. Singel of Michigan State University College of Law and Kaighn Smith, Jr., of Drummond Woodsum.

This Restatement presents American Indian Law in six Chapters: Federal–Tribal Relations, Tribal Authority, State–Tribal Relations, Tribal Economic Development, Indian Country Criminal Jurisdiction, and Natural Resources.

“This project is generally about Federal Indian Law,” explained Reporter Matthew Fletcher. “Federal Indian Law is the relationship between the United States, Indian tribes, and state governments. The first three Chapters provide the big picture about federal, tribal, and state powers and prerogatives in the context of Federal Indian Law. Many of these principles have been around since the founding of the United States and really since the beginning of the constitutional era in 1789, but they are not necessarily well known. In Chapter 1, the project begins with a discussion of federal plenary power and all of the obligations the federal government has toward Indian people and Indian tribes. The project then covers the inherent powers of Indian tribes that federal law acknowledges, and also the state powers and the interaction primarily between states and local governments and tribes and tribal citizens.”

“While we were working on the project, it became clear that we needed to amend our original plan and add other topics,” continued Associate Reporter Wenona Singel. “There is a Chapter on tribal economic activity, both describing tribes as economic actors and as economic regulators; one on Indian country criminal jurisdiction, which many know is now an exceptionally hot topic, but you may not realize that this has been a known area of law in need of clarification since early in U.S. history; and we finish the project with a Chapter on native natural resources, which includes treaty rights, water law, hunting and fishing, and generally who owns the resources and the property on the reservation.”

The project was launched in 2012. Including the 2021 Proposed Final Draft, which includes the complete project contents, 25 project drafts were produced by the Reporters and reviewed and edited by the Advisers and Members Consultative Group (MCG).

“We owe a debt of gratitude to the dedicated Advisers and MCG who reviewed and provided guidance to us, making the project stronger with each draft,” said Associate Reporter Kaighn Smith. “This is a difficult area of law, as many of us did not study this in law school, and so few lawyers practice in this area day-to-day. Yet, it is more often than we realize that transactions or litigation will cross into Indian Territory. The body of law that we call federal Indian law derives from federal treaties, statutes, and executive orders with Supreme Court decisions fashioning principles in the nature of federal common law. The decisions that we see have shifted quite a bit in the modern era. We see decisions reflect a commitment to upholding the sovereign powers of Indian nations so that they can better their economies and preserve their rich cultural ways. Law in this area is progressive, and it is the right time for the ALI to have taken on this topic. With the completion of the Restatement of the Law of American Indians, the ALI is lending its hand in articulating doctrines that take account of the hard lessons of history.”

“The completion of any Restatement is cause for celebrating the Reporters’ accomplishment,” said ALI Director Richard L. Revesz. “Making sense of a significant area of law and navigating the ALI’s system for institutional discussion and approval is always a complex and challenging endeavor. But the complexity and challenge are even greater when the Reporters are writing on a clean slate, with no prior Restatement to provide an organizing structure and guide their way. For this reason, I particularly admire the work that Matthew, Wenona, and Kaighn did on this very important and often misunderstood area of the law.”

Matthew L.M. Fletcher, Wenona T. Singel, and Kaighn Smith, Jr., 2018 Annual Meeting
In the U.S. Supreme Court

During its October 2021 Term, the Supreme Court of the United States cited the work of The American Law Institute in five cases:

**Cameron v. EMW Women’s Surgical Center, P.S.C.**, 142 S. Ct. 1002 (Mar. 3, 2022)
Concurrence quoting *Restatement Second, Judgments* § 34, Reporter’s Note to Comment a, and § 40

Majority citing and quoting *Restatement Second, Contracts* §§ 353 and Comment a thereto, and § 355; dissent quoting *Restatement Second, Contracts* §§ 347, Comment a, 351(1), 353, and 355 and Comments a and b and Illustrations 3 and 4 thereto

**Gallardo By and Through Vassallo v. Marstiller**, 142 S. Ct. 1751 (June 6, 2022)
Majority quoting *Restatement Second, Contracts* § 321(1)

**Thompson v. Clark**, 142 S. Ct. 1332 (Apr. 4, 2022)
Majority citing and quoting *Restatement Second, Torts* § 660, Comment a; dissent citing *Restatement Second, Torts* § 35

**United States v. Taylor**, 142 S. Ct. 2015 (June 21, 2022)
Majority citing and quoting *Model Penal Code* § 222.1; dissent citing *Model Penal Code* § 222.1

In the State Supreme Courts

The high courts of a number of jurisdictions relied on Restatement Sections during the past fiscal year. Some highlights follow:

**Wilson v. Anonymous Defendant 1**, 183 N.E.3d 289 (Ind. 2022)
Supreme Court of Indiana, adopting *Restatement Second, Agency* § 267

Supreme Court of Nevada, adopting *Restatement Third, Restitution and Unjust Enrichment* § 25

**Moretto Trustee of the Jerome F. Moretto 2006 Trust v. ELK Point Country Club Homeowners Ass’n, Inc.**, 507 P.3d 199 (Nev. 2022)
Supreme Court of Nevada, adopting *Restatement Third, Property (Servitudes)* §§ 6.7 and 6.9

**Feasel v. Tracker Marine LLC**, 496 P.3d 95 (Utah 2021)
Supreme Court of Utah, adopting *Restatement Third, Torts: Products Liability* § 2(c)

**Matter of Estate of Osguthorpe**, 491 P.3d 894 (Utah 2021)
Supreme Court of Utah, relying on *Restatement Third, Torts: Liability for Economic Harm* § 19
ALI-Convened Group Issues Principles for Electoral Count Act Reform

At the invitation of the leadership of The American Law Institute, a group whose members span a range of legal and political views came together to consider possible Electoral Count Act (ECA) reforms. Despite holding diverse legal, political, and ideological commitments, the group is united by the belief that Congress should reform the ECA before the 2024 presidential election. The group has agreed on several general principles that should guide ECA reform, as well as specific proposals as to what ECA reform should seek to accomplish.

In July 2022, a bipartisan group, led by U.S. Senators Susan Collins (R-ME) and Joe Manchin (D-WV), introduced two proposals that include legislation to reform and modernize the outdated Electoral Count Act of 1887 to ensure that the electoral votes tallied by Congress accurately reflect each state’s vote for President. In their proposal, the ALI group’s principles were cited.

“From the beginning, our bipartisan group has shared a vision of drafting legislation to fix the flaws of the archaic and ambiguous Electoral Count Act of 1887,” the senators said in a joint statement. “In developing the bills, the senators received input from state election officials, as well as from an ideologically diverse group of election experts and legal scholars, including the American Law Institute. Rules Committee Chairwoman Amy Klobuchar (D-MN) and Ranking Member Roy Blunt (R-MO) also provided helpful insight.”

“We are impressed with the draft Electoral Count Act reform legislation developed by a bipartisan Senate working group, including Senators Collins, Manchin, Romney, and Murphy,” said Bob Bauer and Jack Goldsmith, co-chairs of the Presidential Reform Project. “Our work on these reform issues, which has included co-chairing a group of experts convened by the American Law Institute (ALI), has convinced us that major improvements in the current law are both urgent and achievable. We believe the legislation as proposed will help curtail threats to future presidential elections that would erode the foundational democratic principles of our country. It merits broad support.”

During the Senate session, Senator Cardin presented the Senate group’s proposal, highlighting ALI’s work in drafting ECA reform.

“The American Law Institute is proud to have convened this group and to have facilitated its important work,” said ALI President David F. Levi and ALI Director Richard L. Revesz in a joint statement. “Because of the need for quick action, this project has not gone through the typical ALI bicameral process, which requires approval by both our Council and membership, and therefore cannot be considered the official work of the Institute. Our support for this project nonetheless contributes to the rule of law, which is a core priority for the ALI. We would like to extend our deepest gratitude to this group for their critical and urgent work. We also would like to thank ALI Legal Fellow Harry Larson and Professor Goldsmith’s excellent team of research assistants for providing valuable support to this project.”

The members of the ALI group, selected for their deep and varied experience in law and government, are:

- Bob Bauer (NYU School of Law, and former White House Counsel) (Co-Chair)
- Elise C. Boddie (Rutgers Law School, and former litigation director of the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund)
- Mariano-Florentino Cuéllar (President of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, and formerly a Justice of the California Supreme Court)
- Courtney Simmons Elwood (former General Counsel of the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency)
- Jack Goldsmith (Harvard Law School, and former Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel) (Co-Chair)
- Larry Kramer (President of the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, and former Dean of Stanford Law School)
- Don McGahn (C. Boyden Gray Center for the Study of the Administrative State, Antonin Scalia Law School at George Mason University, and former White House Counsel)
- Michael B. Mukasey (former U.S. District Court Judge, and former U.S. Attorney General)
- Saikrishna Prakash (University of Virginia School of Law)
- David Strauss (University of Chicago Law School)

More detailed biographies are attached to the Statement of Principles for ECA Reform, found online at www.ali.org/eca-reform.
American Law Institute Continuing Legal Education (ALI CLE)

In-Person Courses
As the pandemic’s effects shifted, ALI CLE likewise pivoted to accommodate the return of selected in-person courses in addition to remote learning. Our first program to return in-person was *Eminent Domain and Land Valuation Litigation* in January 2022, followed by *Regulation D Offerings and Private Placements* in March 2022, *Legal Issues in Museum Administration* in April 2022, and *Accountants’ Liability* in June 2022.

Attendance at some of these programs reached near pre-pandemic levels, but all had more lawyers attending by webcast than in previous years. Those who attended in person were delighted to be back, but because ALI CLE has for a long time offered its in-person programs in a hybrid format, we were also able to hit the ground running and immediately provide the live-webcast option for those who preferred not to travel, making a sizable difference in our overall turnout. Other typically in-person programs were presented as multiday course webcasts, and we will continue to do so while we test the market for in-person conferences and make the adjustments needed.

ALI Projects
In April 2022, ALI CLE worked with ALI and the University of Washington School of Law to webcast nationally the law school’s 34th Annual Indian Law Symposium, cosponsored by ALI. The symposium examined the development of the Restatement of the Law, The Law of American Indians, and the future of American Indian-law practice. Panel discussions were structured around the Chapters of the Restatement and featured over 30 expert panelists, including several ALI members and project participants who participated in the project’s drafting. For maximum outreach, the full webcast has now been archived on the ALI CLE website and is available on a complimentary basis.

In addition, at the May 2022 ALI Annual Meeting, ALI CLE presented *Law, Ethics, and Transactions in Emerging Technologies: Are We Up to the Task?* Planning chair and moderator Neil Cohen was joined by panelists Sarah Hammer, Teresa Harmon, and Steve Weise to explore the ways emerging technologies affect today’s transactions and how well existing law applies those transactions, with a focus on the UCC and Emerging Technologies Project. ALI CLE is now planning a series of webcasts on that project and the new UCC Article 12 for the first half of 2023.

Distance Learning
As lawyers have become acclimated to working remotely, they have also grown accustomed to receiving their continuing legal education and communications electronically. Accordingly, we are focusing on distance learning and digital-promotion strategies more than ever before. In 2022, ALI CLE kept pace with legal developments and practice needs to create topical, skills, diversity, and ethics webcasts for lawyers in a wide range of practice areas. We introduced three new webcast series: technology and privacy issues, partnership taxation, and topics in commercial real estate. We also expanded our collaboration with the American College of Trust and Estate Counsel to include a series of basic-level estate-planning webcasts, and (at the recommendation of the ALI CLE Program and Marketing Committee) partnered with the College of Labor and Employment Lawyers to create a professionalism training video and a successful ALI CLE webcast, *Lawyers Behaving Badly: How to Respond to Uncivil and Unprofessional Conduct*.

In the 75th anniversary year of ALI CLE (formerly ALI-ABA), we have faced a changed legal-practice landscape that could never have been imagined at our founding. In response to this challenge, we have stayed adaptable and adjusted to the shifts to continue to provide ALI CLE’s hallmark educational programming—in-person and remotely—to lawyers across the country.

Steven O. Weise, Neil B. Cohen, Teresa Wilton Harmon, and Sarah Hammer
Membership

The Membership Committee and the Council are committed to fostering a membership that will reflect the broad diversity of the legal profession in the United States and abroad, including with regard to (but not limited to) age, gender, race, ethnicity, expertise, geographic region, viewpoints, and type and size of practice or other professional work. The overall goal is to ensure a membership that will keep the Institute a vibrant, relevant, and distinguished membership organization as it carries out its mission throughout the 21st century. Candidates for elected membership must have demonstrated exceptional professional achievement, outstanding personal character, and an avid interest in law reform.

During the 2021–2022 fiscal year, 124 distinguished legal professionals were elected upon accepting the nomination and committing to participate. Members make invaluable contributions to our work by donating their time and expertise in numerous areas of the law. As of June 30, 2022, ALI membership included 2,795 elected members, 1,689 life members (a status achieved after 25 years of service to the Institute), 238 ex officio members, and two honorary members. While ex officio members are generally considered members only during the time they hold a specific office or position, the Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States are ex officio members for life.

In Memoriam

Remembering Marion Fremont-Smith

By: Jill Horwitz – Reporter, Restatement of the Law, Charitable Nonprofit Organizations
Originally appeared in HistPhil. Visit www.ali.org/fremont-smith to read the full tribute.

Marion Fremont-Smith died peacefully in her home of 60 years in Cambridge, Massachusetts on December 30th, 2021. She was 95. Marion’s contributions over her long career left an indelible mark on nonprofit law, regulation, scholarship, and the sector more generally.

Although Marion loved to talk about ideas, she was less forthcoming about herself, so a brief professional biography is in order. While raising three young children, she taught political science at Wellesley College, her alma mater. Her professional career in nonprofits began when she became the Director of the Public Charities Division in the Massachusetts Attorney General’s Office in 1960. In 1962, the Russell Sage Foundation engaged Marion as the Project Director on the Study of Public Accountability of Charitable Foundations. The output of that project, Foundations and Government: State and Federal Law and Supervision (Russell Sage Foundation, 1965), quickly became the leading work on state and federal nonprofit foundation law. She joined the Boston law firm Choate, Hall & Stewart as an associate in 1964, focusing on tax-exempt organizations, trusts, and estate planning. She was elected partner at the firm in 1971, making her one of the first female partners in a Boston law firm. While in practice, she published Philanthropy and the Business Corporation (Russell Sage Foundation, 1972), a concise volume that, among other subjects, explores the roles and responsibilities of for-profit business in philanthropy. Her prescience has become obvious given that these issues are a central focus of business-corporation scholars many decades later.

Marion returned to the academy full time in 1998 as Senior Research Fellow at the newly established Hauser Center for Nonprofit Organizations at the Harvard Kennedy School. At the Hauser Center she directed research on governance and accountability of nonprofit organizations and published many articles, ran conferences, and regularly taught at Harvard Law School. Her third book, Governing Nonprofit Organizations (2004), was a vast work covering the history, policy, and law of nonprofits. She was Co-Reporter (and later Consultant) on her final major publication, The American Law Institute’s first Restatement of the Law, Charitable Nonprofit Organizations (2021). In addition to her full-time work in the sector, Marion held dozens of governing roles (e.g., Trustee of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace for 26 years) and professional positions (e.g., Chair of the Section on Taxation, Committee on Exempt Organizations of the American Bar Association).

. . . .
MEMBERS BY CATEGORY
Includes Elected, Elected & Ex Officio, Life, Honorary, and Ex Officio

- 38% ACADEMICS
- 36% ATTORNEYS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE
- 14% JUDGES
- 12% CORPORATE, GOVERNMENT, AND NONPROFIT ATTORNEYS

CURRENT MEMBERSHIP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elected Members</td>
<td>2,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Members</td>
<td>1,689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex Officio Members</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorary Members</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ALI Membership</td>
<td>4,724</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to her many substantive contributions, Marion’s influence will live through the many people she touched. The law is a particularly hierarchical field, and Marion found her way to the very top of it. Yet she was often the first woman to hold a position, and that fact inspired those behind her.

Marion also had uncommon curiosity. She was particularly interested in the ideas of young lawyers and scholars. Until the pandemic stopped travel in 2020, she kept a punishing professional travel schedule, attending meetings where she could be seen sitting with the youngest person in attendance, learning from and encouraging others.

Marion leaves an enviable legacy. The sector is immeasurably better from her scholarship, policy contributions, and mentorship of countless young thinkers. I will miss her.

Steven L. Harris

Steven L. Harris passed away on November 6, 2021. An ALI member since 1986, Harris served as Reporter for the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Article 9 Drafting Committee with Charles W. Mooney Jr., which was enacted into law in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Insight into the success of this effort was shared in The ALI Reporter (Summer 2001) by William M. Burke, who served as ALI Chair to this project.

In recognition of this important work in revising Article 9, in 2002, Harris was given the Distinguished Service Award of the American College of Commercial Finance Lawyers, and in 2021, he received its Homer Kripke Achievement Award in recognition of his career of exceptional dedication to the improvement of commercial finance law and practice.

He continued to participate in updating Article 9, including presenting proposed amendments at the 2018 ALI Annual Meeting.

Professor Harris earned his bachelor’s degree with high honors from the University of Chicago and law degree from the University of Chicago Law School. He was a professor of law emeritus at Chicago-Kent College of Law specializing in commercial and bankruptcy law, where he began teaching in 1997. Before joining Chicago-Kent Law, Professor Harris worked at Wayne State University Law School, where he met his wife Barbara, and after that at the University of Illinois College of Law. He was widely published in scholarly journals, including Cornell Law Review, Minnesota Law Review, Virginia Law Review, UCLA Law Review, and Vanderbilt Law Review. He was coauthor of Cases, Problems, and Materials on Security Interests in Personal Property.

Professor Harris is survived by his wife of 36 years, Barbara Bruno; his children, Jacob (Taylor) and Molly; his sister, Sunny (Douglas) Rome; his sisters- and brothers-in-law, Mary and Jeff Ehret and Donna Kase and Curt Paloumpis; and many nieces and nephews.
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## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Activities
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2022 AND 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NET ASSETS WITHOUT DONOR RESTRICTIONS</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Publications and program revenue</td>
<td>$8,389,463</td>
<td>$8,640,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership dues and other income</td>
<td>1,012,652</td>
<td>966,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions and grants</td>
<td>1,307,578</td>
<td>1,601,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment spending policy income</td>
<td>1,988,646</td>
<td>955,266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net assets released from purpose restrictions</td>
<td>25,476</td>
<td>45,947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating revenue and other support</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,723,815</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,209,285</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program expenses</td>
<td>9,499,318</td>
<td>8,362,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative expenses</td>
<td>3,698,123</td>
<td>3,494,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,197,441</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,856,204</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net assets from operations</td>
<td>(473,626)</td>
<td>353,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment return, net of spending policy</td>
<td>(14,075,349)</td>
<td>17,206,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions and bequests</td>
<td>5,335,000</td>
<td>2,316,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operating expense, net</td>
<td>(71,120)</td>
<td>1,852,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in net assets without donor restrictions</strong></td>
<td><strong>(9,285,095)</strong></td>
<td><strong>21,728,191</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NET ASSETS WITH DONOR RESTRICTIONS</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment return</td>
<td>$(1,086,167)</td>
<td>$1,652,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net assets released from purpose restrictions</td>
<td>(25,476)</td>
<td>(45,947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions and grants</td>
<td>250,508</td>
<td>141,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in net assets with donor restrictions</strong></td>
<td><strong>(861,133)</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,747,643</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHANGE IN NET ASSETS</th>
<th><strong>$(-10,146,230)</strong></th>
<th><strong>$23,475,834</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net assets, at beginning of year</td>
<td>96,357,821</td>
<td>72,881,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net assets, at end of year</td>
<td>$86,211,591</td>
<td>$96,357,821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position  
**AS OF JUNE 30, 2022 AND 2021**

### ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$3,294,806</td>
<td>$2,795,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables and other assets</td>
<td>4,344,954</td>
<td>4,056,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments, at fair value</td>
<td>80,214,929</td>
<td>90,565,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land, buildings, and equipment, net</td>
<td>2,232,513</td>
<td>2,276,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$90,087,202</strong></td>
<td><strong>$99,693,995</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

#### LIABILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued expenses</td>
<td>$1,771,694</td>
<td>$950,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred revenue</td>
<td>601,934</td>
<td>660,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postretirement health benefit obligation</td>
<td>1,501,983</td>
<td>1,724,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,875,611</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,336,174</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### NET ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without donor restrictions</td>
<td>78,427,708</td>
<td>87,712,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With donor restrictions</td>
<td>7,783,883</td>
<td>8,645,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Net Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>86,211,591</strong></td>
<td><strong>96,357,821</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities and Net Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$90,087,202</strong></td>
<td><strong>$99,693,995</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The condensed consolidated financial statements for The American Law Institute include all accounts and activities of Funds of the Treasurer and ALI CLE. In addition, they reflect the accounts and activities of The American Law Institute Publishers.
ALI’s Second Century Campaign

The American Law Institute will celebrate its 100th anniversary in 2023. This milestone is a wonderful opportunity to ensure that our successors, 100 years from now, will be in at least as good a position as we now are to plan for the future of the Institute and its work. An occasion of this sort is a natural time for us to reflect on what we have been able to accomplish in our first 100 years, as well as an opportunity to plan for the Institute’s future. This is why we have launched the Second Century Campaign.

The Second Century Campaign has the ambitious goal of raising $35 million by the end of 2023. The Institute has never embarked on a capital campaign of this magnitude. The last time we undertook a serious effort of this sort our members and supporters came together to raise $5.5 million in the late 1980s (about $12.4 million in today’s dollars). Our goal this time is not simply to fund the Institute’s work in the short-term, but rather to secure funding that will help support the ALI’s work for the next 100 years.

We are grateful to the following major donors to the Second Century Campaign, whose generous gifts made over the course of the campaign each bring us one step closer to securing the Institute’s future:

**Second Century Visionary** ($2.5 million or more)
- Bennett Boskey
- Mary Kay Kane

**Second Century Patron** ($1 million to $2.49 million)
- Elizabeth J. Cabraser
- Carnegie Corporation of New York
- Andrée W. and Kenneth C. Frazier Family Foundation
- Vester T. Hughes Jr.
- Victor E. Schwartz
- Anonymous

**Second Century Benefactor** ($500,000 to under $1 million)
- Ann and Daniel C. Girard
- Andrew Hendry
- Lee and Gary Rosenthal
- Anonymous

**Second Century Supporter** ($250,000 to under $500,000)
- David F. Levi
- Judith Miller and Peter Buscemi
- Anonymous (2)
As part of the Second Century Campaign, we issued a challenge to our donors who have the means, who cherish the rule of law, and who value our vital work, to be one of 100 donors giving $100,000 to The American Law Institute. Although this challenge is ongoing, we would like to recognize the following donors who have already accepted the challenge. We are profoundly grateful for their generous support of the Institute, which will allow our work to continue for years to come.

100 for 100 Donors

Apgar-Black Foundation
John H. Beisner
Sheila L. Birnbaum
Timothy W. Burns
Evan R. Chesler
J. William Elwin, Jr.
Sharon and Ivan Fong
Teresa Wilton Harmon
Conrad and Marsha Harper
William C. Hubbard
Renee Knake Jefferson and Wallace B. Jefferson
Michael Alexander Kahn
Carol F. Lee and David J. Seipp
Robert H. Mundheim
George and Joan Newcombe
Stephanie Parker
Douglas J. Pepe
Roberta Cooper Ramo and Barry W. Ramo
Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers and Matt Rogers
Pamela Samuelson and Robert J. Glushko
Marsha E. Simms
Elizabeth S. Stong
Lori and Steve Weise

Law Firm Donors to the Second Century Campaign

Law firms are joining the call to support ALI in its second century. We are grateful to the following firms that have contributed to the campaign at the following levels.

President’s Circle ($200,000 and above)
Jones Day and The Jones Day Foundation
Munger, Tolles & Olson
The Sidley Austin Foundation
The Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz Foundation

Director’s Circle ($150,000–$199,999)
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom and Affiliates

Patron ($100,000–$149,999)
Cravath, Swaine & Moore
Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

Benefactor ($50,000–$99,999)
Carlton Fields

Contributions as November 9, 2022
Annual Giving Report

Contributions to The American Law Institute provide a key source of support that allows us to remain independent as we continue our mission of clarifying and improving the law. By becoming a Sustaining Member, joining a Giving Circle, or making a general contribution or recurring gift during our 2021-2022 fiscal year, the generous donors recognized on the following pages have helped ensure that the Institute is prepared for a second century of law reform.

Your gift helps us:

MAXIMIZE PROJECT EFFICIENCY AND ATTRACT TOP TALENT
ALI pays stipends to top-tier law professors best suited to produce its project drafts, and also pays for the research assistants who support them. By providing funding necessary to maintain an increased number of Reporters, your gift helps to expedite project completion times while retaining the high level of quality the Institute is known for.

PRODUCE, PROMOTE, AND DISTRIBUTE WORKS IMPORTANT TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST
The Institute’s mission is driven by focusing on areas of law that are most in need of clarification, regardless of financial return. The current legal landscape includes a number of topics that are ripe for review, but the resulting publications may not generate revenue to cover the costs of the projects in the way ALI’s Restatements do. The Institute also provides free public access to works that can be applied to addressing pressing legal and policy issues being faced in times of crisis. Projects on these topics—including Policing; Election Administration; and Data Privacy—are of great importance. Gifts to the Institute provide funding necessary to produce, promote, and distribute such works that benefit the public interest.

REDUCE BARRIERS TO PARTICIPATION
ALI’s members are lawyers of the highest qualifications. Some have chosen service-oriented careers in which compensation lags far behind the private sector. The participation of these members is critical to maintaining the diversity of ideas that is the hallmark of ALI’s approach and the key to our success. ALI’s travel-assistance programs, which are supported by generous contributions, provide opportunities for the full spectrum of our membership to participate in the Institute’s work regardless of financial means. Your gift will also allow ALI to invest in technological updates necessary to advance our work.

RAISE AWARENESS OF ALI’S WORK AND ENGAGE THE NEXT GENERATION
ALI’s Early Career Scholars Medal and annual conference support practical legal scholarship and raise awareness of the Institute’s mission while engaging the next generation of eminent legal minds. Contributions to the Institute provide funding to ensure that this important program continues.

SECURE THE INSTITUTE’S FUTURE
Your gift to the Institute allows us to properly maintain our equipment, technology, and infrastructure, and ensures that any reduction in revenue from print publications will not inhibit ALI’s work.

To inquire about making a donation, please contact Kyle Jakob, Senior Development Manager, at 215-243-1660 or kjakob@ali.org.

To make a gift online, please visit www.ali.org/support.
Honorary and Memorial Gifts

The Institute is proud to receive gifts that honor or memorialize dear friends and loved ones. We are pleased to recognize the following gifts:

**IN HONOR OF**

Gerhard Casper  
Edwin E. Huddleson

Juliana Ebert  
Frank J. Daily

Kenneth C. Frazier  
Jones Day  
Jones Day Foundation  
Stephanie E. Parker

Jane E. Giacinto  
Norman L. Greene

Michael D. Green  
Thomas H. Boyd

Conrad K. Harper  
Marsha E. Simms

Carolyn Dineen King  
Sarah S. Vance and R. Patrick Vance

David F. Levi  
Royal Furgeson  
Ellen M. Semonoff

Lance Liebman  
Jack B. Jacobs  
Jonathan Rose

Stephanie A. Middleton  
Paul and Susan Freehling

Roberta Cooper Ramo  
William C. Hubbard  
Andrew G. Schultz

Richard L. Revesz  
Robert M. Kaufman

Michael Traynor  
William C. Hubbard

**IN MEMORY OF**

James R. Browning  
Edwin E. Huddleson

Richard D. Cudahy  
Janet S. Cudahy

Talbot D’Alemberthe  
James P. White

J. Chrys Dougherty  
Ben F. Vaughan

William H. Erickson  
Henry R. Reeve

John P. Frank  
Simon K. Barsky  
José A. Cárdenas

Henry J. Friendly  
Carol F. Lee and David J. Seipp

Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr.  
Conrad and Marsha Harper  
Edwin E. Huddleson  
The Mary Kay Kane Trust  
Harold Hongju Koh  
Thomas D. Morgan

Thomas L. Jones  
Robert L. McCurley

Mary Kay Kane  
Candace J. Heisler  
Carol F. Lee and David J. Seipp  
Steven R. Smith

Rudolph Kass  
Thomas Butler Merritt

Philip B. Kurland  
Edwin E. Huddleson

J. Edward Lumbard  
Edwin E. Huddleson

Carl McGowan  
Warren Belmar

Daniel J. Meltzer  
Conrad and Marsha Harper  
David F. Levi  
Susan M. Liss  
Roberta Cooper Ramo and Barry Ramo  
Ellen M. Semonoff

Norman Redlich  
James P. White

Ernest James Sargeant  
Donald W. Glazer

John Paul Stevens  
Carol F. Lee and David J. Seipp

Hubert I. Teitelbaum  
Robert S. Barker

Patricia M. Wald  
Terence Roche Murphy

Russell J. Weintraub  
Hal R. Ray, Jr.

John Minor Wisdom  
D. Brock Hornby

Charles C. Wise, Jr.  
Thomas C. Damewood

Frank Wozencraft  
Warren Belmar

Charles Alan Wright  
Dorothy Toth Beasley  
Lemuel Hewes
The 1997 Life Member Class Gift

The 1997 Life Member Class Gift, totaling $184,225, was presented to the Institute on Wednesday, May 18, 2022, during a luncheon held in honor of ALI’s new Life and 50-year members. The campaign was led by the 1997 Class Committee, composed of Kim J. Askew of DLA Piper US LLP; William T. Hangley of Hangley Aronchick Segal Pudlin & Schiller; Roberta D. Liebenberg of Fine, Kaplan and Black, RPC; Bruce A. Markell of Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law; David W. Rivkin of Debevoise & Plimpton LLP; and Daniel B. Rodriguez of Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law. The Class Gift will be used to fund important aspects of the Institute’s mission, including our travel assistance programs, the Early Career Scholars Medal and annual conference, and initiatives to make our work more accessible by providing free public access.

To date, over $1.7 million has been raised by the Class Gift program, now in its 11th year, to support these key initiatives. The American Law Institute celebrates each gift that contributed to the success of this campaign. We deeply appreciate your generosity.

**GIVING CIRCLE DONORS**

**Benjamin N. Cardozo Circle**  
$25,000 – $49,999

Kim J. Askew  
*(Five-year pledge)*

William T. Hangley

Roberta D. Liebenberg  
*(Five-year pledge)*

Bruce A. Markell  
*(Five-year pledge)*

David W. Rivkin  
*(Five-year pledge)*

Daniel B. Rodriguez  
*(Five-year pledge)*

**Learned Hand Circle**  
$15,000 – $24,999

Joseph Z. Fleming  
*(Five-year pledge)*

**Herbert Wechsler Circle**  
$5,000 – $9,999

Philip L. Graham, Jr.  
*(Five-year pledge)*

**Soia Mentschikoff Circle**  
$2,000 – $4,999

Peter H. Carson

Albert J. Matricciani, Jr.

David C. McBride  
*(Five-year pledge)*

Ellen S. Podgor

Carolyn Engel Temin

**DONORS**

**Sustaining Life-Plus Donors**  
$251 – $1,999

Jill Fisch

Marjorie L. Girth

Andrew R. Klein

James C. Moore

Louise Ellen Teitz  
*(Five-year pledge)*

William D. Underwood  
*(Five-year pledge)*

**Sustaining Life Donors**  
$125 – $250

Theodore R. Boehm

Michael D. Floyd

James R. Maxeiner

Joseph Sanders

Lois J. Schiffer

Harvey Weiner

**Friends of ALI**

Edward M. Ginsburg

Class of 1997 Giving Circle Donors, Sustaining Life-Plus Donors, and Sustaining Life Donors are Sustaining Life Members for the 2022-2023 fiscal year.
Donations

Financial support provided by The American Law Institute’s members and those who care about its mission is crucial to ensuring that the Institute maintains its independence as we produce work that protects and promotes the rule of law. Although ALI members volunteer their time and expertise to our unique drafting process, this important work could not be produced without a reliable source of funding for the many costs incurred in carrying out our projects.

Charitable contributions to the Institute help to ensure that ALI’s work continues uncompromised. We thank the following individuals, foundations, and organizations for their generosity during the 2021-2022 fiscal year.

**GIVING CIRCLE DONORS**

**Founders Circle** $50,000 and above
- Timothy W. Burns (Four-year pledge)
- Ivan and Sharon Fong (Four-year pledge)
- Yvonne Gonzales and Matt Rogers (10-year pledge)
- Teresa Wilton Harmon (10-year pledge)
- Conrad and Marsha Harper
- Andrew Hendry (Five-year pledge)
- William C. Hubbard (10-year pledge)
- Wallace B. Jefferson and Renee Knake Jefferson
- Michael Alexander Kahn (10-year pledge)
- Carol F. Lee and David J. Seipp (10-year pledge)
- David F. Levi
- Martin Lipton
- The Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz Foundation
- Robert H. Mundheim
- George and Joan Newcombe (Eight-year pledge)
- Stephanie E. Parker
- Douglas J. Pepe (10-year pledge)
- Gary L. & Lee H. Rosenthal
- Marsha E. Simms (10-year pledge)
- Elizabeth S. Stong (10-year pledge)
- Lori and Steve Weise (10-year pledge)

**Benjamin N. Cardozo Circle** $25,000 – $49,999
- Kim J. Askew (Five-year pledge)
- William T. Hangley
- The Maximilian W. Kempner Trust
- Roberta D. Liebenberg (Five-year pledge)
- Bruce A. Markell (Five-year pledge)
- Thomas D. Morgan
- David W. Rivkin (Five-year pledge)
- Daniel B. Rodriguez (Five-year pledge)

**Learned Hand Circle** $15,000 – $24,999
- John H. Beisner
- Joseph Z. Fleming (Five-year pledge)

**Charles Alan Wright Circle** $10,000 – $14,999
- Anonymous
- Daniel C. Girard
- Larry Kramer
- Larry Sonsini
- Peter A. Winograd

**Herbert Wechsler Circle** $5,000 – $9,999
- Gerhard Casper
- George H. T. Dudley
- Philip L. Graham, Jr. (Five-year pledge)
- Samuel Issacharoff
- Carolyn B. Kuhl and William F. Hightberger
- Judith A. Miller and Peter Buscemi
- Ben F. Vaughan, III

**Soia Mentschikoff Circle** $2,000 – $4,999
- Susan Frelich Appleton
- José I. Astigarraga
- William W. Barrett
- Allen D. Black
- Peter H. Carson
- Cedric C. Chao
- Donald L. Doernberg
- Marjorie E. Gross
- Lemuel Hewes
- Robert M. Kaufman
- Mark R. Killenbeck
- Richard S. and Elizabeth Kinyon
- Gregory Joseph Lavorgna
- Lance Liebman
- Lewis J. Liman
- The Liman Foundation
- Margaret H. Marshall
- Albert J. Matricciani, Jr.
- David C. McBride (Five-year pledge)
- Daniel R. Murray
- Janet Napolitano
- Ellen S. Podgor
- Roberta Cooper Ramo and Barry Ramo
- Gary L. Sasso
- Ellen M. Semonoff
- Steven R. Smith
- Paul K. Sun, Jr.
- Carolyn Engel Temin
- Michael Traynor
- Sarah S. Vance and R. Patrick Vance
DONORS

$1,000 – $1,999
Donald Belton Ayer and Anne Norton Ayer
Alan J. Berkeley
José A. Cárdenas
Gilbert F. Casellas
Charles C. Cohen
Michael L. Cook
Deborah A. DeMott
Christopher L. Eisgruber
Gail Erickson
Peter Flynn
Martin L. Fried
Paul L. Friedman
Joseph B. Frumkin
Linda Sheryl Greene
Joseph A. Grundfest
Lawrence K. Hellman
John Francis Hilson
D. Brock Hornby
Wilbur E. Johnson
Joseph F. Johnston, Jr.
Sidney K. Kanazawa
Carolyn Dineen King
Jeffrey H. Knox
Carolyn B. Lamm
William F. Lee
John G. Levi
Margaret Colgate Love
Arthur M. Mead
Craig C. Martin
L. Paige Marvel
James C. McKay, Jr.
M. Margaret McKeown
John "Mike" McKetta, III
James P. Melican, Jr.
Ricardo A. Mestres, Jr.
David L. Mulliken
Terence Roche Murphy
Richard L. Revesz
Janis Lynn Sammartino
Jorge A. Sánchez Cordero Dávila
Virginia A. Seitz
Edwin E. and Katharine T. Smith
Laura Denvir Stith
Guy Miller Struve
E. Thomas Sullivan
John M. Townsend
Donald B. Verrilli, Jr.
William J. Williams Jr.

$500 – $999
Linda Auerbach Allderdice
R Gordon Appleman
Thomas C. Baxter
Dorothy Toth Beasley
Richard Lyle Berkman
Carter G. Bishop
Ronald G. Blum
Daniel L. Booker
William J. Boyce
Thomas H. Boyd
Stephen B. Burbank
Stephen Yee Chow
George C. Christie
Dennis R. Connolly
Edward H. Cooper
Carolyn F. Corwin
Frank J. Daily
George A. Davidson
Hector De Leon
R. Stanton Dodge
Arthur Norman Field
Jill Fisch
Donald Thomas Fox
Haley J. Fromholz
Royal Furgeson
James Gadsden
Joseph Dean Garrison Jr. and Brenda Jorgenson Garrison
Jerome A. Geis
Richard Glazer
Greta Gooden Brown
Barbara J. Howard
Joan Sidney Howland
Edwin E. Huddleson
Jack B. Jacobs
Richard Gibbs Johnson
Scott L. Kafker
Barry G. Kaiman
Edwin A. Kilburn
Andrew R. Klein
Harold Hongju Koh
Edward V. Lahey, Jr.
Douglas Laycock
Ronald Lee
Betsy Levin
Donald Maclay
Margaret Penny Mason
Michele Coleman Mayes
Martin C. McWilliams, Jr.
Patricia Ann Millett
Steve Morris
Jonathan M. Moses
Ved P. Nanda
Richard L. Neumeier
John F. Olson
Vance K. Opperman
The Philadelphia Contributionship
Lonnie A. Powers
Robert L. and Gene E. K. Pratter
Helen P. Pudlin
Douglas R. Richmond
Jonathan Rose
Victoria P. Rostow
William T. Russell, Jr.
Sander W. Shapiro
John S. Skilton
Melanie Sloan
Rosa Solis-Rainey
Peter and Sheila Strand
Louise Ellen Teitz
(JFive-year pledge)
Jon S. Tigar and Caroline D. Avery
William D. Underwood
(JFive-year pledge)

$500 – $999
E. Peter Urbanowicz
Carla C. van Dongen
Laurence Browning VanMeter
Ronald Frederick Waterman
Donald E. Weihl
Clay and Jeanne Williams
Marc Wolinsky and Barry C. Skovgaard
Patricia and Douglas Woodlock
Flavio Luiz Yarshell
Douglas R. Young

Up to $499
Kenneth S. Abraham
Thomas B. Allington
José Enrique Alvarez
John Fox Arnold
David J. Aronofsky
Thomas Carlton Arthur
Lawrence Herman Averill
Stephen L. Babcock
Simon K. Barsky
Peter V. Baugher
Margaret Slocom Bearn
Phyllis W. Beck
Warren Belmar
Theodore R. Boehm
David S. Bogen
Richard A. Booth
Hildy Bowbeer
Lorenz L. Bravenc
David A. Brownlee
Guido Calabresi
John G. Cameron, Jr.
James H. Carter
Ronald D. Castille
J. Michelle Childs
Carol L. Chomsky
Bradley G. Clary
Albert L. Clovis
Anne E. Cohen
Neil B. Cohen
Robert A. Creamer
Eric R. Cromartie
Janet S. Cudahy
Thomas C. Damewood
Christopher Scott D’Angelo
Diana M. Daniels
Jack Davies
Timothy Davis
Anthony E. DiResta
Joel C. Dobris
Allan G. Donn
Christine M. Durham
Timothy B. Dyk
Craig T. Enoch
Norman L. Epstein
Ann L. Estin
Richard and Linda Feder
Henry L. Feuerzeig
Arlene Fickler
Ruth E. Fitch
Jean K. FitzSimon
Michael D. Floyd
Michael Raye Ford
Sustaining Members

Sustaining Members provide an important foundation of support by making a contribution equal to their annual membership dues. Life Members, no longer required to pay dues, become Sustaining Life Members by making a contribution equal to their previous dues. These gifts support the Institute’s day-to-day operations and enable the President and Director to pursue new initiatives in our law-reform work.

The ALI enjoys the support of many longtime Sustaining Members. They are the backbone of our organization. It is with tremendous pride that we recognize the individuals who were Sustaining Members during the 2021–2022 fiscal year.

Anonymous
Daniel Abebe
Kenneth S. Abraham
Kerry Abrams
Katherine L. Adams
Kristen David Adams
Jonathan H. Adler
Afra Afsharipour
Mark David Agrast
Jane H. Aiken
Alexandra Wilson Albright
Raquel E. Aldana
Douglas W. Alexander
Gregory S. Alexander
Robert H. Alexander, Jr.
Linda Auerbach Allderdice
Anita L. Allen-Castellitto
Thomas B. Allington
Vikram D. Amar
Thomas L. Ambro
Catherine M. Amirfar
S. James Anaya
Patrick V. Apodaca
Mari Carmen Aponte
John S. Applegate
R Gordon Appleman
Susan Frelich Appleton
Lady Just. Mary Arden
John Fox Arnold
David J. Aronofsky
Thomas Carlton Arthur
Kim J. Askew
José I. Astigarraga
Nancy F. Atlas
Lawrence Herman Averill
Reuven S. Avi-Yonah
Donald B. Ayer
Michael F. Aylward
Stephen L. Babcock
Scott L. Baena
Miriam H. Baer
Nannette A. Baker
Scott Bales
Ian C. Ballon
Thomas A. Balmer
Joseph R. Bankoff
Oren Bar-Gill
Robert S. Barker
John M. Barkett
Marialyn Barnard
Sharon R. Barner
Curtis H. Barnette
William W. Barrett
David E. Bartlett
Dabney Dorsett Bassel
William G. Bassler
Lyne A. Battaglia
Thomas C. Baxter
William R. Bay
Shawn J. Bayern
Michael M. Bayson
Lara Bazelon
Sara Sun Beale
Margaret Slocum Bearn
Dorothy Thoth Beasley
Jeffrey A. Beaver
Phyllis W. Beck
David M. Beck
John S. Beckerman
Mary Beckman
Vicki Lynn Been
Theresa M. Beiner
John H. Beisner
Anthony J. Bellia, Jr.
John B. Bellinger, III
Warren Belmar
Brigida Benitez
Max W. Berger
Alan J. Berkeley
Richard Lyle Berkman
Tuney Powers Berry
Carter G. Bishop
Andrea K. Bjorklund
Victoria B. Bjorklund
Allen D. Black
Jane Bland
Stacy D. Blank
Jeffrey L. Bleich
Dennis J. Block
Joseph B. Bluemel
Ronald G. Blum
Julia Boaz Cooper
Theodore R. Boehm
David S. Bogen
Richard J. Bonnie
Daniel I. Booker
Richard A. Booth
Patrick J. Borchers
Amelia H. Boss
Richard F. Boullware, II
Andrew S. Boutros
Hildy Bowbeer
Kristine Bowman
William J. Boyce
Jeffrey S. Boyd
Thomas H. Boyd
Willard L. Boyd III
Susan G. Braden
Andrew D. Bradt
Paul A. Brand
Roland E. Brandel
Ellen B. Brantley
William W. Bratton
Lorence L. Bravenec
Lord Brennan, Q.C.
Thomas J. Brennan
Charles R. Breyer
Bonnie Brier
Philip A. Brimmer
Tonya L. Brito
Richard R. W. Brooks
Matthew D. Brown
Michael K. Brown
Rebecca Brown
Thomas P. Brown
David A. Brownlee
John G. Buchanan, III
Thomas J. Buiteweg
Reeve Tyler Bull
Stephen B. Burbank
Elizabeth Chambless Burch
David John Burman
Timothy W. Burns
John P. Burton
Peter Buscemi
Gregory P. Butrus
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Ways to Give

Your financial commitment to The American Law Institute is an important investment in improving the law. The Institute is an independent, nonpartisan organization supported by tax-deductible contributions. As a public charity under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, all donations to the ALI receive maximum tax benefits. Donors who wish to support ALI can do so in the following ways:

OUTRIGHT GIFTS
Gifts of cash by check or credit card are fully deductible for federal and state income-tax purposes. Checks should be made payable to The American Law Institute. The Institute also accepts donations via MasterCard, Visa, American Express, and Discover. To make a gift online, visit the ALI website at www.ali.org/support.

APPRECIATED SECURITIES
Gifts of appreciated stock may offer a double tax benefit by avoiding capital-gains tax and providing an income-tax charitable deduction for the full market value of the securities. So that we are able to recognize your gift, please notify the ALI Development Office when making a donation of securities. For more information on transferring stock, please see www.ali.org/TransferSecurities.

DONOR-ADVISED FUNDS AND FOUNDATION GIFTS
Donors may recommend or direct gifts to The American Law Institute through donor-advised funds or private foundations. To make a gift through your donor-advised fund, you will need to provide ALI’s Tax ID/EIN, which is 23-1352013. Payments should be made payable to The American Law Institute.

MATCHING GIFTS
Many firms and corporations encourage their employees to give by matching their philanthropic support through matching-gift programs. Donors should contact their organization to find out about participation in such programs.

PLANNED GIVING
Including the Institute in an estate plan can be accomplished easily through a bequest that specifies a certain amount or a percentage, or a retirement plan or life-insurance policy that names the ALI as a beneficiary or a contingent beneficiary after family members. For more information, please visit www.ali.org/plannedgiving.

ALI’s Gift Acceptance Policy, available at www.ali.org/giftacceptancepolicy, provides further guidance regarding the types of gifts or assets that may be readily accepted and the process to obtain approval for accepting other forms or types of gifts.

To inquire about making a donation, please contact Kyle Jakob, Senior Development Manager.
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