## **REPORT OF THE PERMANENT EDITORIAL BOARD** FOR THE UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE

## APPLICATION OF THE UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE TO SELECTED ISSUES RELATING TO MORTGAGE NOTES

NOVEMBER 14, 2011

© 2011 by The American Law Institute and the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. All rights reserved.

## PERMANENT EDITORIAL BOARD FOR THE UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE

#### Chair

John A. Sebert, Chicago, IL Executive Director, Uniform Law Commission

#### Members

#### ALI Designees

#### ULC Designees

E. Carolan Berkley, Philadelphia, PA Amelia H. Boss, Philadelphia, PA Stephanie Heller, Brooklyn, NY Lance Liebman, New York, NY *Director, The American Law Institute* Linda J. Rusch, Spokane, WA Steven O. Weise, Los Angeles, CA Boris Auerbach, Indianapolis, IN Patricia Brumfield Fry, Edgewood, NM Carlyle C. Ring, Jr., Washington, DC Edwin E. Smith, Boston, MA James J. White, Ann Arbor, MI

#### **Director of Research**

Neil B. Cohen, Brooklyn, NY

#### Liaisons

Carter H. Klein, Chicago, IL ABA Business Law Section Teresa W. Harmon, Chicago, IL ABA Advisor

#### **Emeritus Members**

William H. Henning, Tuscaloosa, AL Fred H. Miller, Minneapolis, MN

#### The American Law Institute

4025 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 215-243-1600 www.ali.org

### **Uniform Law Commission**

111 N. Wabash, Suite 1010 Chicago, IL 60602 312-450-6600 www.uniformlaws.org

## PREFACE

In 1961, the American Law Institute and the Uniform Law Commission, the organizations that jointly sponsor the Uniform Commercial Code, established the Permanent Editorial Board for the Uniform Commercial Code (PEB). One of the charges of the PEB is to issue commentaries "and other articulations as appropriate to reflect the correct interpretation of the [Uniform Commercial] Code and issuing the same in a manner and at times best calculated to advance the uniformity and orderly development of commercial law." Such commentaries and other articulations are issued directly by the PEB rather than by action of the American Law Institute and the Uniform Law Commission.

This Report of the Permanent Editorial Board is such an articulation, addressing the application of the Uniform Commercial Code to issues of legal, economic, and social importance arising from the issuance and transfer of mortgage notes. A draft of this Report was made available to the public for comment on March 29, 2011, and the comments that were received have been taken into account in preparing the final Report.

## REPORT OF THE PERMANENT EDITORIAL BOARD FOR THE UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE

## APPLICATION OF THE UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE TO SELECTED ISSUES RELATING TO MORTGAGE NOTES

#### **Introduction**

Recent economic developments have brought to the forefront complex legal issues about the enforcement and collection of mortgage debt. Many of these issues are governed by local real property law and local rules of foreclosure procedure, but others are addressed in a uniform way throughout the United States by provisions of the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC).<sup>1</sup> Although the UCC provisions are settled law, it has become apparent that not all courts and attorneys are familiar with them. In addition, the complexity of some of the rules has proved daunting.

The Permanent Editorial Board for the Uniform Commercial Code<sup>2</sup> has prepared this Report in order to further the understanding of this statutory background by identifying and explaining several key rules in the UCC that govern the transfer and enforcement of notes secured by a mortgage<sup>3</sup> on real property. The UCC, of course, does not resolve all issues in this field. Most particularly, as to both substance and procedure, the enforcement of real estate mortgages by foreclosure is primarily the province of a state's real property law (although determinations made

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The UCC is a uniform law sponsored by the American Law Institute and the Uniform Law Commission. It has been enacted in every state (as well as the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the United States Virgin Islands) in whole or significant part. This Report is based on the current Official Text of the UCC. Some states have enacted some non-uniform provisions that are generally not relevant to the issues discussed in this Report. Of course, the enacted text of the UCC in the state whose law is applicable governs. See note 6, *infra*, regarding the various different versions of Article 3 of the UCC in effect in the states.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In 1961, the American Law Institute and the Uniform Law Commission, the organizations that jointly sponsor the UCC, established the Permanent Editorial Board for the Uniform Commercial Code (PEB). One of the charges of the PEB is to issue commentaries "and other articulations as appropriate to reflect the correct interpretation of the [Uniform Commercial] Code and issuing the same in a manner and at times best calculated to advance the uniformity and orderly development of commercial law."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This Report, like Article 9 of the UCC, uses the term "mortgage" to include a consensual interest in real property to secure an obligation whether created by mortgage, trust deed, or the like. See UCC § 9-102(a)(55) and Official Comment 17 thereto and former UCC § 9-105(1)(j). This Report uses the term "mortgage note" to refer to a note secured by a mortgage, whether or not the note is a negotiable instrument under UCC Article 3.

pursuant to the UCC are typically relevant under that law). Accordingly, this Report should be understood as providing guidance only as to the issues the Report addresses.<sup>4</sup>

## **Background**

Issues relating to the transfer, ownership, and enforcement of mortgage notes are primarily governed by two Articles of the UCC:

- In cases in which the mortgage note is a negotiable instrument,<sup>5</sup> Article 3 of the UCC<sup>6</sup> provides rules governing the obligations of parties on the note<sup>7</sup> and the enforcement of those obligations.
- In cases involving either negotiable or non-negotiable notes, Article 9 of the UCC<sup>8</sup> contains important rules governing how ownership of those notes may be transferred, the effect of the transfer of ownership of the notes on the ownership of the mortgages securing those notes, and the right of the transferee, under certain circumstances, to record its interest in the mortgage in the applicable real estate recording office.

This Report explains the application of the rules in both of those UCC Articles to provide guidance in:

• Identifying the person who is entitled to enforce the payment obligation of the maker<sup>9</sup> of a mortgage note, and to whom the maker owes that obligation; and

<sup>7</sup> In this Report, such notes are sometimes referred to as "negotiable notes."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Of course, the application of the UCC rules to particular factual circumstances depends on the nature of those circumstances. Facts raising legal issues other than those addressed in this Report can result in different rights and obligations than would be the case in the absence of those facts. Accordingly, this Report should not be read as a statement of the total legal implications of any factual scenario. Rather, the Report sets out the UCC rules that are common to the transactions discussed so as to provide a common basis for understanding the application of those rules. The impact of non-UCC law that applies to other aspects of such transactions is beyond the scope of this Report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The requirements that must be satisfied in order for a note to be a negotiable instrument are set out in UCC § 3-104.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Except for New York, every state (as well as the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the United States Virgin Islands) has enacted either the 1990 Official Text of Article 3 or the newer 2002 Official Text (the latter having been adopted in ten states as of the date of this Report). Unless indicated to the contrary all discussions of provisions in Article 3 apply equally to both versions. Much of the analysis of UCC Article 3 in this Report also applies under the older version of Article 3 in effect in New York, although many section numbers differ. The Report does not address those aspects of New York's Article 3 that are different from the 1990 or 2002 texts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Unlike Article 3 (which has not been enacted in its modern form in New York), the current version of Article 9 has been enacted in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the United States Virgin Islands. Some states have enacted non-uniform provisions that are generally not relevant to the issues discussed in this Report (but see note 31 with respect to one relevant non-uniformity). A limited set of amendments to Article 9 was approved by the American Law Institute and the Uniform Law Commission in 2010. Except as noted in this Report, those amendments (which provide for a uniform effective date of July 1, 2013) are not germane to the matters addressed in this Report.

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  A note can have more than one obligor. In some cases, this is because there is more than one maker (in which case they are jointly and severally liable; see UCC § 3-116(a)). In other cases, there may be an indorser. The obligation

• Determining who owns the rights represented by the note and mortgage.

Together, the provisions in Articles 3 and 9 of the UCC (along with general principles that appear in Article 1 and that apply to all transactions governed by the UCC) provide legal rules that apply to these questions.<sup>10</sup> Moreover, these rules displace any inconsistent common law rules that might have otherwise previously governed the same questions.<sup>11</sup>

This Report does not, however, address all of the rules in the UCC relating to enforcement, transfer, and ownership of mortgage notes. Rather, it reviews the rules relating to four specific questions:

- Who is the person entitled to enforce a mortgage note and, correspondingly, to whom is the obligation to pay the note owed?
- How can the owner of a mortgage note effectively transfer ownership of that note to another person or effectively use that note as collateral for an obligation?
- What is the effect of transfer of an interest in a mortgage note on the mortgage securing it?
- May a person to whom an interest in a mortgage note has been transferred, but who has not taken a recordable assignment of the mortgage, take steps to become the assignee of record in the real estate recording system of the mortgage securing the note?<sup>12</sup>

<sup>11</sup>UCC § 1-103(b). As noted in Official Comment 2 to UCC § 1-103:

The Uniform Commercial Code was drafted against the backdrop of existing bodies of law, including the common law and equity, and relies on those bodies of law to supplement its provisions in many important ways. At the same time, the Uniform Commercial Code is the primary source of commercial law rules in areas that it governs, and its rules represent choices made by its drafters and the enacting legislatures about the appropriate policies to be furthered in the transactions it covers. Therefore, while principles of common law and equity may *supplement* provisions of the Uniform Commercial Code, they may not be used to *supplant* its provisions, or the purposes and policies those provisions reflect, unless a specific provision of the Uniform Commercial Code preempts principles of common law and equity that are inconsistent with either its provisions or its purposes and policies.

<sup>12</sup> The Report does not discuss the application of common law principles, such as the law of agency, that supplement the provisions of the UCC other than to note some situations in which the text or comments of the UCC identify such principles as being relevant. See UCC § 1-103(b).

of an indorser is different from that of a maker in that the indorser's obligation is triggered by dishonor of the note (see UCC § 3-415) and, unless waived, indorsers have additional procedural protections (such as notice of dishonor; see UCC § 3-503)). These differences do not affect the issues addressed in this Report. For simplicity, this Report uses the term "maker" to refer to both makers and indorsers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Subject to limitations on the ability to affect the rights of third parties, the effect of these provisions may be varied by agreement. UCC § 1-302. Variation by agreement is not permitted when the variation would disclaim obligations of good faith, diligence, reasonableness, or care prescribed by the UCC or when the UCC otherwise so indicates (see, *e.g.*, UCC § 9-602). But the meaning of the statute itself cannot be varied by agreement. Thus, for example, private parties cannot make a note negotiable unless it complies with UCC § 3-104. See Official Comment 1 to UCC § 1-302. Similarly, parties may not avoid the application of UCC Article 9 to a transaction that falls within its scope. See *id.* and Official Comment 2 to UCC § 9-109.

## Question One - To Whom is the Obligation to Pay a Mortgage Note Owed?

If the mortgage note is a negotiable instrument,<sup>13</sup> Article 3 of the UCC provides a largely complete set of rules governing the obligations of parties on the note, including how to determine who may enforce those obligations and, thus, to whom those obligations are owed. The following discussion analyzes the application of these rules to that determination in the context of mortgage notes that are negotiable instruments.<sup>14</sup>

In the context of mortgage notes that have been sold or used as collateral to secure an obligation, the central concept for making that determination is identification of the "person entitled to enforce" the note.<sup>15</sup> Several issues are resolved by that determination. Most particularly:

- (i) the maker's obligation on the note is to pay the amount of the note to *the person entitled to enforce the note*,  $^{16}$
- (ii) the maker's payment to *the person entitled to enforce the note* results in discharge of the maker's obligation,<sup>17</sup> and
- (iii) the maker's failure to pay, when due, the amount of the note to *the person entitled* to enforce the note constitutes dishonor of the note.<sup>18</sup>

Thus, a person seeking to enforce rights based on the failure of the maker to pay a mortgage note must identify the person entitled to enforce the note and establish that that person has not been paid. This portion of this Report sets out the criteria for qualifying as a "person entitled to enforce" a mortgage note. The discussion of Question Two addresses how ownership of a mortgage note may be effectively transferred from an owner to another person.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See UCC § 3-104 for the requirements that must be fulfilled in order for a payment obligation to qualify as a negotiable instrument. It should not be assumed that all mortgage notes are negotiable instruments. The issue of the negotiability of a particular mortgage note, which requires application of the standards in UCC § 3-104 to the words of the particular note, is beyond the scope of this Report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Law other than Article 3, including contract law, governs this determination for non-negotiable mortgage notes. That law is beyond the scope of this Report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The concept of "person entitled to enforce" a note is not synonymous with "owner" of the note. See Official Comment 1 to UCC § 3-203. A person need not be the owner of a note to be the person entitled to enforce it, and not all owners will qualify as persons entitled to enforce. Rules that address transfer of ownership of a note are addressed in the discussion of Question 2 below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> UCC § 3-412. (If the note has been dishonored, and an indorser has paid the note to the person entitled to enforce it, the maker's obligation runs to the indorser.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>UCC § 3-602. The law of agency is applicable in determining whether a payment has been made to a person entitled to enforce. See *id.*, Official Comment 3. Note that, in states that have enacted the 2002 Official Text of UCC Article 3, UCC § 3-602(b) provides that a maker is also discharged by paying a person formerly entitled to enforce the note if the maker has not received adequate notification that the note has been transferred and that payment is to be made to the transferee. This amendment aligns the protection afforded to makers of notes that have been assigned with comparable protection afforded to obligors on other payment rights that have been assigned. See, *e.g.*, UCC § 9-406(a); Restatement (Second), Contracts § 338(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See UCC § 3-502. See also UCC § 3-602.

UCC Section 3-301 provides only three ways in which a person may qualify as the person entitled to enforce a note, two of which require the person to be in possession of the note (which may include possession by a third party that possesses it for the person)<sup>19</sup>:

- The first way that a person may qualify as the person entitled to enforce a note is to be its "holder." This familiar concept, set out in detail in UCC Section 1-201(b)(21)(A), requires that the person be in possession of the note and either (i) the note is payable to that person or (ii) the note is payable to bearer. Determining to whom a note is payable requires examination not only of the face of the note but also of any indorsements. This is because the party to whom a note is payable may be changed by indorsement<sup>20</sup> so that, for example, a note payable to the order of a named payee that is indorsed in blank by that payee becomes payable to bearer.<sup>21</sup>
- The second way that a person may be the person entitled to enforce a note is to be a "nonholder in possession of the [note] who has the rights of a holder."
  - How can a person who is not the holder of a note have the rights of a holder? This can occur by operation of law outside the UCC, such as the law of subrogation or estate administration, by which one person is the successor to or acquires another person's rights.<sup>22</sup> It can also occur if the delivery of the note to that person constitutes a "transfer" (as that term is defined in UCC Section 3-203, see below) because transfer of a note "vests in the transferee any right of the transferor to enforce the instrument."<sup>23</sup> Thus, if a holder (who, as seen above, is a person entitled to enforce a note) transfers the note to another person, that other person (the transferee) obtains from the holder the right to enforce the note even if the transferee does not become the holder (as in the example below). Similarly, a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See UCC § 1-103(b) (unless displaced by particular provisions of the UCC, the law of, *inter alia*, principal and agent supplements the provisions of the UCC). See also UCC § 3-420, Comment 1 ("Delivery to an agent [of a payee] is delivery to the payee."). Note that "delivery" of a negotiable instrument is defined in UCC § 1-201(b)(15) as voluntary transfer of possession. This Report does not address the determination of whether a particular person is an agent of another person under the law of agency and the agency law implications of such a determination.

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$  "Indorsement," as defined in UCC § 3-204(a), requires the signature of the indorser. The law of agency determines whether a signature made by a person purporting to act as a representative binds the represented person. UCC § 3-402(a); see note 12, supra. An indorsement may appear either on the instrument or on a separate piece of paper (usually referred to as an *allonge*) affixed to the instrument. See UCC § 3-204(a) and Comment 1, par. 4.

 $<sup>^{21}</sup>$ UCC Section 3-205 contains the rules concerning the effect of various types of indorsement on the party to whom a note is payable. Either a "special indorsement" (see UCC § 3-205(a)) or a "blank indorsement" (see UCC § 3-205(b)) can change the identity of the person to whom the note is payable. A special indorsement is an indorsement that identifies the person to whom it makes the note payable, while a blank indorsement is an indorsement that does not identify such a person and results in the instrument becoming payable to bearer. When an instrument is indorsed in blank (and, thus, is payable to bearer), it may be negotiated by transfer of possession alone until specially indorsed. UCC § 3-205(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See Official Comment to UCC § 3-301.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> UCC § 3-203(b).

subsequent transfer will result in the subsequent transferee being a person entitled to enforce the note.

- Under what circumstances does delivery of a note qualify as a transfer? As stated in UCC Section 3-203(a), a note is transferred "when it is delivered by a person other than its issuer for the purpose of giving to the person receiving delivery the right to enforce the instrument." For example, assume that the payee of a note sells it to an assignee, intending to transfer all of the payee's rights to the note, but delivers the note to the assignee without indorsing it. The assignee will not qualify as a holder (because the note is still payable to the payee) but, because the transaction between the payee and the assignee qualifies as a transfer, the assignee now has all of the payee's rights to enforce the note and thereby qualifies as the person entitled to enforce it. Thus, the failure to obtain the indorsement of the payee does not prevent a person in possession of the note from being the person entitled to enforce it, but demonstrating that status is more difficult. This is because the person in possession of the note must also demonstrate the purpose of the delivery of the note to it in order to qualify as the person entitled to enforce.<sup>24</sup>
- There is a third method of qualifying as a person entitled to enforce a note that, unlike the previous two methods, does not require possession of the note. This method is quite limited it applies only in cases in which "the person cannot reasonably obtain possession of the instrument because the instrument was destroyed, its whereabouts cannot be determined, or it is in the wrongful possession of an unknown person or a person that cannot be found or is not amenable to service of process."<sup>25</sup> In such a case, a person qualifies as a person entitled to enforce the note if the person demonstrates not only that one of those circumstances is present but also demonstrates that the person was formerly in possession of the note and entitled to enforce it when the loss of possession occurred and that the loss of possession was not as a result of transfer (as defined above) or lawful seizure. If the person proves those facts, as well as the terms of the note, the person is a person entitled to enforce the note and may seek to enforce it even though it is not in possession of the note, <sup>26</sup> but the court may not enter judgment in favor of the

 $<sup>^{24}</sup>$  If the note was transferred for value and the transferre does not qualify as a holder because of the lack of indorsement by the transferor, "the transfere has a specifically enforceable right to the unqualified indorsement of the transferor." See UCC § 3-203(c).

 $<sup>^{25}</sup>$  UCC § 3-309(a)(iii) (1990 text), 3-309(a)(3) (2002 text). The 2002 text goes on to provide that a transferee from the person who lost possession of a note may also qualify as a person entitled to enforce it. See UCC § 3-309(a)(1)(B) (2002). This point was thought to be implicit in the 1990 text, but was rejected in some cases in which the issue was raised. The reasoning of those cases was rejected in Official Comment 5 to UCC § 9-109 and the point was made explicit in the 2002 text of Article 3.

 $<sup>^{26}</sup>$  To prevail the person must establish not only that the person is a person entitled to enforce the note but also the other elements of the maker's obligation to pay such a person. See generally UCC §§ 3-309(b), 3-412. Moreover, as is the case with respect to the enforcement of all rights under the UCC, the person enforcing the note must act in good faith in enforcing the note. UCC § 1-304.

person unless the court finds that the maker is adequately protected against loss that might occur if the note subsequently reappears.<sup>27</sup>

## **Illustrations:**

- 1. Maker issued a negotiable mortgage note payable to the order of Payee. Payee is in possession of the note, which has not been indorsed. Payee is the holder of the note and, therefore, is the person entitled to enforce it. UCC §§ 1-201(b)(21)(A), 3-301(i).
- Maker issued a negotiable mortgage note payable to the order of Payee. Payee indorsed the note in blank and gave possession of it to Transferee. Transferee is the holder of the note and, therefore, is the person entitled to enforce it. UCC §§ 1-201(b)(21)(A), 3-301(i).
- 3. Maker issued a negotiable mortgage note payable to the order of Payee. Payee sold the note to Transferee and gave possession of it to Transferee for the purpose of giving Transferee the right to enforce the note. Payee did not, however, indorse the note. Transferee is not the holder of the note because, while Transferee is in possession of the note, it is payable neither to bearer nor to Transferee. UCC § 1-201(b)(21)(A). Nonetheless, Transferee is a person entitled to enforce the note. This is because the note was transferred to Transferee and the transfer vested in Transferee Payee's right to enforce the note. UCC § 3-203(a)-(b). As a result, Transferee is a nonholder in possession of the note with the rights of a holder and, accordingly, a person entitled to enforce the note. UCC § 3-301(ii).
- 4. Same facts as Illustrations 2 and 3, except that (i) under the law of agency, Agent is the agent of Transferee for purposes of possessing the note and (ii) it is Agent, rather than Transferee, to whom actual physical possession of the note is given by Payee. In the facts of Illustration 2, Transferee is a holder of the note and a person entitled to enforce it. In the context of Illustration 3, Transferee is a person entitled to enforce the note. Whether Agent may enforce the note or mortgage on behalf of Transferee depends in part on the law of agency and, in the case of the mortgage, real property law.
- 5. Same facts as Illustration 2, except that after obtaining possession of the note, Transferee lost the note and its whereabouts cannot be determined. Transferee is a person entitled to enforce the note even though Transferee does not have possession of it. UCC § 3-309(a). If Transferee brings an action on the note against Maker, Transferee must establish the terms of the note and the elements of Maker's obligation on it. The court may not enter judgment in favor of Transferee, however, unless the court finds that Maker is adequately protected against loss that might occur by reason of a claim of another person (such as the finder of the note) to enforce the note. UCC § 3-309(b).

 $<sup>^{27}</sup>$  See *id*. UCC § 3-309(b) goes on to state that "Adequate protection may be provided by any reasonable means."

# Question Two – What Steps Must be Taken for the Owner of a Mortgage Note to Transfer Ownership of the Note to Another Person or Use the Note as Collateral for an Obligation?

In the discussion of Question One, this Report addresses identification of the person who is entitled to enforce a note. That discussion does not address who "owns" the note. While, in many cases, the person entitled to enforce a note is also its owner, this need not be the case. The rules that determine whether a person is a person entitled to enforce a note do not require that person to be the owner of the note,<sup>28</sup> and a change in ownership of a note does not necessarily bring about a concomitant change in the identity of the person entitled to enforce the note. This is because the rules that determine who is entitled to enforce a note and the rules that determine whether the note, or an interest in it, have been effectively transferred serve different functions:

- The rules that determine who is entitled to enforce a note are concerned primarily with the maker of the note, providing the maker with a relatively simple way of determining to whom his or her obligation is owed and, thus, whom to pay in order to be discharged.
- The rules concerning transfer of ownership and other interests in a note, on the other hand, primarily relate to who, among competing claimants, is entitled to the economic value of the note.

In a typical transaction, when a note is issued to a payee, the note is initially owned by that payee. If that payee seeks either to use the note as collateral or sell the note outright, Article 9 of the UCC governs that transaction and determines whether the creditor or buyer has obtained a property right in the note. As is generally known, Article 9 governs transactions in which property is used as collateral for an obligation.<sup>29</sup> In addition, however, Article 9 governs the sale of most payment rights, including the sale of both negotiable and non-negotiable notes.<sup>30</sup> With very few exceptions, the same Article 9 rules that apply to transactions in which a payment right is collateral for an obligation also apply to transactions in which a payment right are collateral and the other for sales of payment rights – Article 9 uses nomenclature conventions to apply one set of rules to both types of transactions. This is accomplished primarily by defining the term "security interest" to include not only an interest in property that secures an obligation

 $<sup>^{28}</sup>$  See UCC § 3-301, which provides, in relevant part, that "A person may be a person entitled to enforce the instrument even though the person is not the owner of the instrument . . . ."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> UCC § 9-109(a)(1).

 $<sup>^{30}</sup>$  With certain limited exceptions not germane to this Report, Article 9 governs the sale of accounts, chattel paper, payment intangibles, and promissory notes. UCC § 9-109(a)(3). The term "promissory note" includes not only notes that fulfill the requirements of a negotiable instrument under UCC § 3-104 but also notes that do not fulfill those requirements but nonetheless are of a "type that in ordinary business is transferred by delivery with any necessary indorsement or assignment." See UCC § 9-102(a)(65) (definition of "promissory note") and 9-102(a)(47) (definition of "instrument" as the term is used in Article 9).

but also the right of a buyer of a payment right in a transaction governed by Article 9.<sup>31</sup> Similarly, definitional conventions denominate the seller of such a payment right as the "debtor," the buyer as the "secured party," and the sold payment right as the "collateral."<sup>32</sup> As a result, for purposes of Article 9, the buyer of a promissory note is a "secured party" that has acquired a "security interest" in the note from the "debtor," and the rules that apply to security interests that secure an obligation generally also apply to transactions in which a promissory note is sold.

Section 9-203(b) of the Uniform Commercial Code provides that three criteria must be fulfilled in order for the owner of a mortgage note effectively to create a "security interest" (either an interest in the note securing an obligation or the outright sale of the note to a buyer) in it.

- The first two criteria are straightforward "value" must be given<sup>33</sup> and the debtor/seller must have rights in the note or the power to transfer rights in the note to a third party.<sup>34</sup>
- The third criterion may be fulfilled in either one of two ways. Either the debtor/seller must "authenticate"<sup>35</sup> a "security agreement"<sup>36</sup> that describes the note<sup>37</sup> or the secured party must take possession<sup>38</sup> of the note pursuant to the debtor's security agreement.<sup>39</sup>

 $^{36}$  A "security agreement" is an agreement that creates or provides for a security interest (including the rights of a buyer arising upon the outright sale of a payment right). See UCC § 9-102(a)(73).

<sup>37</sup> Article 9's criteria for descriptions of property in a security agreement are quite flexible. Generally speaking, any description suffices, whether or not specific, if it reasonably identifies the property. See UCC § 9-108(a)-(b). A "supergeneric" description consisting solely of words such as "all of the debtor's assets" or "all of the debtor's personal property" is not sufficient, however. UCC § 9-108(c). A narrower description, limiting the property to a particular category or type, such as "all notes," is sufficient. For example, a description that refers to "all of the debtor's notes" is sufficient.

<sup>38</sup> See UCC § 9-313. As noted in Official Comment 3 to UCC § 9-313, "in determining whether a particular person has possession, the principles of agency apply." In addition, UCC § 9-313 also contains two special rules under which possession by a non-agent may constitute possession by the secured party. First, if a person who is not an agent is in possession of the collateral and the person authenticates a record acknowledging that the person holds the collateral for the secured party's benefit, possession by that person constitutes possession by the secured party. UCC § 9-313(c). Second, a secured party that has possession of collateral does not relinquish possession by delivering the collateral to another person (other than the debtor or a lessee of the collateral from the debtor in the ordinary course of the debtor's business) if the delivery is accompanied by instructions to that person to hold possession of the collateral for the benefit of the secured party or redeliver it to the secured party. UCC § 9-313(h).

 $<sup>^{31}</sup>$  See UCC § 1-201(b)(35) [UCC § 1-201(37) in states that have not yet enacted the 2001 revised text of UCC Article 1]. (For reasons that are not apparent, when South Carolina enacted the 1998 revised text of UCC Article 9, which included an amendment to UCC § 1-201 to expand the definition of "security interest" to include the right of a buyer of a promissory note, it did not enact the amendment to § 1-201. This Report does not address the effect of that omission.) The limitation to transactions governed by Article 9 refers to the exclusion, in cases not germane to this Report, of certain assignments of payment rights from the reach of Article 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> UCC §§ 9-102(a)(28)(B); 9-102(a)(72)(D); 9-102(a)(12)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> UCC § 9-203(b)(1). UCC § 1-204 provides that giving "value" for rights includes not only acquiring them for consideration but also acquiring them in return for a binding commitment to extend credit, as security for or in complete or partial satisfaction of a preexisting claim, or by accepting delivery of them under a preexisting contract for their purchase.

 $<sup>^{34}</sup>$  UCC § 9-203(b)(2). Limited rights that are short of full ownership are sufficient for this purpose. See Official Comment 6 to UCC § 9-203.

 $<sup>^{35}</sup>$  This term is defined to include signing and its electronic equivalent. See UCC § 9-102(a)(7).

- Thus, if the secured party (including a buyer) takes possession of the mortgage note pursuant to the security agreement of the debtor (including a seller), this criterion is satisfied even if that agreement is oral or otherwise not evidenced by an authenticated record.
- Alternatively, if the debtor authenticates a security agreement describing the note, this criterion is satisfied even if the secured party does *not* take possession of the note. (Note that in this situation, in which the seller of a note may retain possession of it, the owner of a note may be a different person than the person entitled to enforce the note.)<sup>40</sup>

Satisfaction of these three criteria of Section 9-203(b) results in the secured party (including a buyer of the note) obtaining a property right (whether outright ownership or a security interest to secure an obligation) in the note from the debtor (including a seller of the note).<sup>41</sup>

## **Illustrations:**

6. Maker issued a mortgage note payable to the order of Payee.<sup>42</sup> Payee borrowed money from Funder and, to secure Payee's repayment obligation, Payee and Funder agreed that Funder would have a security interest in the note. Simultaneously with the funding of the loan, Payee gave possession of the note to Funder. Funder has an attached and

 $^{41}$ For cases in which another person claims an interest in the note (whether as a result of another voluntary transfer by the debtor or otherwise), reference to Article 9's rules governing perfection and priority of security interests may be required in order to rank order those claims (and, in some cases, determine whether a party has taken the note free of competing claims to the note). In the case of notes that are negotiable instruments, the Article 3 concept of "holder in due course" (see UCC § 3-302) should be considered as well, because a holder in due course takes its rights in an instrument free of competing property claims to it (as well as free of most defenses to obligations on it). See UCC §§ 3-305 and 3-306. With respect to determining whether the owner of a note has effectively transferred a property interest to a transferee, however, the perfection and priority rules are largely irrelevant. (The application of the perfection and priority rules can result in the rights of the transferee either being subordinate to the rights of a competing claimant or being extinguished by the rights of the competing claimant. See, *e.g.*, UCC §§ 9-317(b), 9-322(a), 9-330(d), and 9-331(a).)

 $^{42}$  For this Illustration, as well as Illustrations 7-11, the analysis under UCC Article 9 is the same whether the mortgage note is negotiable or non-negotiable. This is because, in either case, the mortgage note will qualify as a "promissory note" and, therefore, an "instrument" under UCC Article 9. See UCC §§ 9-102(a)(47), (65).

See also Official Comment 9 to UCC § 9-313 ("New subsections (h) and (i) address the practice of mortgage warehouse lenders.") Possession as contemplated by UCC § 9-313 is also possession for purposes of UCC § 9-203. See UCC § 9-203, Comment 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> UCC §§ 9-203(b)(3)(A)-(B).

 $<sup>^{40}</sup>$  As noted in the discussion of Question One, payment by the maker of a negotiable note to the person entitled to enforce it discharges the maker's obligations on the note. UCC § 3-602. This is the case even if the person entitled to enforce the note is not its owner. As between the person entitled to enforce the note and the owner of the note, the right to the money paid by the maker is determined by the UCC and other applicable law, such as the law of contract and the law of restitution, as well as agency law. See, e.g., UCC §§ 3-306 and 9-315(a)(2). As noted in comment 3 to UCC § 3-602, "if the original payee of the note transfers ownership of the note to a third party but continues to service the obligation, the law of agency might treat payments made to the original payee as payments made to the third party."

enforceable security interest in the note. UCC § 9-203(b). This is the case even if Payee's agreement is oral or otherwise not evidenced by an authenticated record. Payee is no longer a person entitled to enforce the note (because Payee is no longer in possession of it and it has not been lost, stolen, or destroyed). UCC § 3-301. Funder is a person entitled to enforce the note if either (i) Payee indorsed the note by blank indorsement or by a special indorsement identifying Funder as the person to whom the indorsement makes the note payable (because, in such cases, Funder would be the holder of the note), or (ii) the delivery of the note from Payee to Funder constitutes a transfer of the note under UCC § 3-203 (because, in such case, Funder would be a nonholder in possession of the note with the rights of a holder). See also UCC §§ 1-201(b)(21)(A), 3-205(a)-(b), and 3-301(i)-(ii).

- 7. Maker issued a mortgage note payable to the order of Payee. Payee borrowed money from Funder and, in a signed writing that reasonably identified the note (whether specifically or as part of a category or a type of property defined in the UCC), granted Funder a security interest in the note to secure Payee's repayment obligation. Payee, however, retained possession of the note. Funder has an attached and enforceable security interest in the note. UCC § 9-203(b). If the note is negotiable, Payee remains the holder and the person entitled to enforce the note because Payee is in possession of it and it is payable to the order of Payee. UCC §§ 1-201(b)(21)(A), 3-301(i).
- 8. Maker issued a mortgage note payable to the order of Payee. Payee sold the note to Funder, giving possession of the note to Funder in exchange for the purchase price. The sale of the note is governed by Article 9 and the rights of Funder as buyer constitute a "security interest." UCC §§ 9-109(a)(3), 1-201(b)(35). The security interest is attached and is enforceable. UCC § 9-203(b). This is the case even if the sales agreement was oral or otherwise not evidenced by an authenticated record. If the note is negotiable, Funder is also a person entitled to enforce the note (if Payee indorsed it, because either (i) Funder is a holder of the note (if Payee indorsed it by blank indorsement or by a special indorsement identifying Funder as the person to whom the indorsement makes the note payable) or (ii) Funder is a nonholder in possession of the note (if there is no such indorsement) who has obtained the rights of Payee by transfer of the note pursuant to UCC § 3-203. See also UCC §§ 1-201(b)(21)(A), 3-205(a)-(b), and 3-301(i)-(ii).
- 9. Maker issued a mortgage note payable to the order of Payee. Pursuant to a signed writing that reasonably identified the note (whether specifically or as part of a category or a type of property defined in the UCC), Payee sold the note to Funder. Payee, however, retained possession of the note. The sale of the note is governed by Article 9 and the rights of Funder as buyer constitute a "security interest." UCC § 1-201(b)(35). The security interest is attached and is enforceable. UCC § 9-203(b). If the note is negotiable, Payee remains the holder and the person entitled to enforce the note (even though, as between Payee and Funder, Funder owns the note) because Payee is in

possession of it and it is payable to the order of Payee. UCC §§ 1-201(b)(21)(A), 3-301(i).

# Question Three – What is the Effect of Transfer of an Interest in a Mortgage Note on the Mortgage Securing It?

What if a note secured by a mortgage is sold (or the note is used as collateral to secure an obligation), but the parties do not take any additional actions to assign the mortgage that secures payment of the note, such as execution of a recordable assignment of the mortgage? UCC Section 9-203(g) explicitly provides that, in such cases, the assignment of the interest of the seller or other grantor of a security interest in the note automatically transfers a corresponding interest in the mortgage to the assignee: "The attachment of a security interest in a right to payment or performance secured by a security interest or other lien on personal or real property is also attachment of a security interest in the security interest, mortgage, or other lien." (As noted previously, a "security interest" in a note includes the right of a buyer of the note.)

While this question has provoked some uncertainty and has given rise to some judicial analysis that disregards the impact of Article 9,<sup>43</sup> the UCC is unambiguous: the sale of a mortgage note (or other grant of a security interest in the note) not accompanied by a separate conveyance of the mortgage securing the note does not result in the mortgage being severed from the note.<sup>44</sup>

It is important to note in this regard, however, that UCC Section 9-203(g) addresses only whether, as between the seller of a mortgage note (or a debtor who uses it as collateral) and the buyer or other secured party, the interest of the seller (or debtor) in the mortgage has been correspondingly transferred to the secured party. UCC Section 9-308(e) goes on to state that, if the secured party's security interest in the note is perfected, the secured party's security interest

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup>*See, e.g.*, the discussion of this issue in *U.S. Bank v. Ibanez,* 458 Mass. 637 at 652-53, 941 N.E.2d 40 at 53-54 (2011). In that discussion, the court cited Massachusetts common law precedents pre-dating the enactment of the current text of Article 9 to the effect that a mortgage does not follow a note in the absence of a separate assignment of the mortgage, but did not address the effect of Massachusetts's subsequent enactment of UCC § 9-203(g) on those precedents. Under the rule in UCC § 9-203(g), if the holder of the note in question demonstrated that it had an attached security interest (including the interest of a buyer) in the note, the holder of the note in question would also have a security interest in the mortgage securing the note even in the absence of a separate assignment of the mortgage. (This Report does not address whether, under the facts of the *Ibanez* case, the holder of the note had an attached security interest in the note and, thus, qualified for the application of UCC § 9-203(g). Moreover, even if the holder had an attached security interest in the note and, thus, had a security interest in the mortgage to the holder. Whatever steps are required in order to enforce a mortgage in the absence of a recordable assignment are the province of real property law. The matter is addressed, in part, in the discussion of Question 4 below.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Official Comment 9 to UCC § 9-203 confirms this point: "Subsection (g) codifies the common-law rule that a transfer of an obligation secured by a security interest or other lien on personal or real property also transfers the security interest or lien." Pursuant to UCC § 1-302(a), the parties to the transaction may agree that an interest in the mortgage securing the note does not accompany the note, but such an agreement is unlikely. See, *e.g.*, Restatement (3d), Property (Mortgages) § 5.4, comment *a* ("It is conceivable that on rare occasions a mortgage will wish to disassociate the obligation and the mortgage, but that result should follow only upon evidence that the parties to the transfer so agreed.").

in the mortgage securing the note is also perfected,<sup>45</sup> with result that the right of the secured party is senior to the rights of a person who then or later becomes a lien creditor of the seller of (or other grantor of a security interest in) the note. Neither of these rules, however, determines the ranking of rights in the underlying real property itself, or the effect of recordation or non-recordation in the real property recording system on enforcement of the mortgage.<sup>46</sup>

### **Illustration:**

10. Same facts as Illustration 9. The signed writing was silent with respect to the mortgage securing the note and the parties made no other agreement with respect to the mortgage. The attachment of Funder's interest in the rights of Payee in the note also constitutes attachment of an interest in the rights of Payee in the mortgage. UCC § 9-203(g).

## Question Four – What Actions May a Person to Whom an Interest in a Mortgage Note Has Been Transferred, but Who Has not Taken a Recordable Assignment of the Mortgage, Take in Order to Become the Assignee of Record of the Mortgage Securing the Note?

In some states, a party without a recorded interest in a mortgage may not enforce the mortgage non-judicially. In such states, even though the buyer of a mortgage note (or a creditor to whom a security interest in the note has been granted to secure an obligation) automatically obtains corresponding rights in the mortgage,<sup>47</sup> this may be insufficient as a matter of applicable real estate law to enable that buyer or secured creditor to enforce the mortgage upon default of the maker if the buyer or secured creditor does not have a recordable assignment. The buyer or other secured party may attempt to obtain such a recordable assignment from the seller or debtor at the time it seeks to enforce the mortgage, but such an attempt may be unsuccessful.<sup>48</sup>

Article 9 of the UCC provides such a buyer or secured creditor a mechanism by which it can record its interest in the realty records in order to conduct a non-judicial foreclosure. UCC Section 9-607(b) provides that "if necessary to enable a secured party [including the buyer of a mortgage note] to exercise ... the right of [its transferor]to enforce a mortgage nonjudicially," the secured party may record in the office in which the mortgage is recorded (i) a copy of the security agreement transferring an interest in the note to the secured party and (ii) the secured

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> See Official Comment 6 to UCC § 9-308, which also observes that "this result helps prevent the separation of the mortgage (or other lien) from the note." Note also that, as explained in Official Comment 7 to UCC § 9-109, "It also follows from [UCC § 9-109(b)] that an attempt to obtain or perfect a security interest in a secured obligation by complying with non-Article 9 law, as by an assignment of record of a real-property mortgage, would be ineffective."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Similarly, Official Comment 6 to UCC § 9-308 states that "this Article does not determine who has the power to release a mortgage of record. That issue is determined by real-property law."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> See discussion of Question Three, *supra*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> In some cases, the seller or debtor may no longer be in business. In other cases, it may simply be unresponsive to requests for execution of documents with respect to a transaction in which it no longer has an economic interest. Moreover, in cases in which mortgage note was collateral for an obligation owed to the secured party, the defaulting debtor may simply be unwilling to assist its secured party. See Official Comment 8 to UCC § 9-607.

party's sworn affidavit in recordable form stating that default has occurred<sup>49</sup> and that the secured party is entitled to enforce the mortgage non-judicially.<sup>50</sup>

## **Illustration:**

11. Same facts as Illustration 10. Maker has defaulted on the note and mortgage and Funder would like to enforce the mortgage non-judicially. In the relevant state, however, only a party with a recorded interest in a mortgage may enforce it non-judicially. Funder may record in the relevant mortgage recording office a copy of the signed writing pursuant to which the note was sold to Funder and a sworn affidavit stating that Maker has defaulted and that Funder is entitled to enforce the mortgage non-judicially. UCC § 9-607(b).

## Summary

The Uniform Commercial Code provides four sets of rules that determine matters that are important in the context of enforcement of mortgage notes and the mortgages that secure them:

- First, in the case of a mortgage note that is a negotiable instrument, Article 3 of the UCC determines the identity of the person who is entitled to enforce the note and to whom the maker owes its payment obligation; payment to the person entitled to enforce the note discharges the maker's obligation, but failure to pay that party when the note is due constitutes dishonor.
- Second, for both negotiable and non-negotiable mortgage notes, Article 9 of the UCC determines whether a transferee of the note from its owner has obtained an attached property right in the note.
- Third, Article 9 of the UCC provides that a transferee of a mortgage note whose property right in the note has attached also automatically has an attached property right in the mortgage that secures the note.
- Finally, Article 9 of the UCC provides a mechanism by which the owner of a note and the mortgage securing it may, upon default of the maker of the note, record its interest in the mortgage in the realty records in order to conduct a non-judicial foreclosure.

As noted previously, these UCC rules do not resolve all issues in this field. The enforcement of real estate mortgages by foreclosure is primarily the province of a state's real property law, but legal determinations made pursuant to the four sets of UCC rules described in this Report will, in many cases, be central to administration of that law. In such cases, proper application of real property law requires proper application of the UCC rules discussed in this Report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> The 2010 amendments to Article 9 (see fn. 8, *supra*) add language to this provision to clarify that "default," in this context, means default with respect to the note or other obligation secured by the mortgage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> UCC § 9-607(b) does not address other conditions that must be satisfied for judicial or non-judicial enforcement of a mortgage.